Public Document Pack

Planning Committee Agenda



To: Councillor Michael Neal (Chair)
Councillor Clive Fraser (Vice-Chair)

Councillors Ian Parker, Simon Brew, Lara Fish, Sean Fitzsimons, Mohammed Islam, Mark Johnson, Humayun Kabir and Appu Srinivasan

Reserve Members: Leila Ben-Hassel, Louis Carserides, Chris Clark, Danielle Denton, Christopher Herman, Joseph Lee, Endri Llabuti, Ellily Ponnuthurai, Holly Ramsey and Luke Shortland

A meeting of the **Planning Committee** which you are hereby summoned to attend, will be held on **Thursday**, **28 September 2023** at **6.30 pm** in **Council Chamber**, **Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX**

KATHERINE KERSWELL Chief Executive and Head of Paid Service London Borough of Croydon Bernard Weatherill House 8 Mint Walk, Croydon CR0 1EA Tariq Aniemeka-Bailey
020 8726 6000 x64109
tariq.aniemeka-bailey@croydon.gov.uk
www.croydon.gov.uk/meetings
Wednesday, 20 September 2023

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting, or you can view the webcast both live and after the meeting has completed at http://webcasting.croydon.gov.uk

If you would like to record the meeting, we ask that you read the guidance on the recording of public meetings here before attending

To register a request to speak, please either e-mail Democratic.Services@croydon.gov.uk or phone the number above by 4pm on the Tuesday before the meeting.



The agenda papers for all Council meetings are available on the Council website www.croydon.gov.uk/meetings

If you require any assistance, please contact Tariq Aniemeka-Bailey 020 8726 6000 x64109 as detailed above.

AGENDA - PART A

1. Apologies for absence

To receive any apologies for absence from any members of the Committee.

2. Minutes of Previous Meeting (Pages 5 - 18)

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on Thursday, 6 July 2023 and Thursday 20 July 2023 as an accurate record.

3. Disclosure of Interest

Members are invited to declare any disclosable pecuniary interests (DPIs) and other registrable and non-registrable interests they may have in relation to any item(s) of business on today's agenda.

4. Urgent Business (if any)

To receive notice of any business not on the agenda which in the opinion of the Chair, by reason of special circumstances, be considered as a matter of urgency.

5. Development presentations (Pages 19 - 20)

To receive the following presentations on a proposed development:

5.1 18/05474/PRE - Woburn and Bedford Court, Wellesley Road, Croydon

To demolish the existing buildings and erect a development to provide 464 residential units (Use Class C3), with 1,761sqm of flexible floor space (Use Classes E / F1 and Sui Generis) at ground and first floor, public realm, communal amenity and child play space, together with associated wheelchair accessible vehicle parking and cycle parking.

Ward: Fairfield

6. Planning applications for decision (Pages 21 - 24)

To consider the accompanying reports by the Director of Planning & Strategic Transport:

There are none.

7. Items referred by Planning Sub-Committee

To consider any item(s) referred by a previous meeting of the Planning Sub-Committee to this Committee for consideration and determination:

There are none.

8. Other planning matters (Pages 25 - 26)

To consider the accompanying report by the Director of Planning & Strategic Transport:

8.1 Weekly Planning Decisions (Pages 27 - 72)

Attached is the list of Delegated and Planning Committee/SubCommittee decisions taken between 4 September 2023 and 15 September 2023.

9. Exclusion of the Press & Public

The following motion is to be moved and seconded where it is proposed to exclude the press and public from the remainder of a meeting:

"That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information falling within those paragraphs indicated in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended."

Planning Committee

Meeting of held on Thursday, 6 July 2023 at 6.30 pm in Council Chamber, Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Michael Neal (Chair);

Councillor Clive Fraser (Vice-Chair);

Councillors Ian Parker, Sean Fitzsimons, Mohammed Islam, Mark Johnson

and Appu Srinivasan

Apologies: Councillor Simon Brew, Lara Fish and Humayun Kabir

PART A

12/23 **Disclosure of Interest**

There were no disclosures of a pecuniary interest not already registered.

13/23 Urgent Business (if any)

There was none.

14/23 **Development presentations**

There were none.

15/23 Planning applications for decision

There were two planning applications under consideration at this meeting, the decisions of which are detailed below.

16/23 **22/05178/OUT - 20 Manor Way, Purley, CR8 3BH**

Hoa Vong, Principal Planner (Sustainable Communities, Regeneration and Economic Recovery), presented the report to members, highlighting that it was important to note that an outline application had been approved in 2018 for a very similar proposal, but that since then there had been improvements made to the plans. This included a 3-bedroom family unit, a lift and fire lobby

with accessibility to all floors, larger gardens, and a wheelchair accessible unit on the ground floor.

It was important to note that the 2018 plans could still be implemented but that the development then would not benefit from the improvements mentioned if the application put before the committee at this meeting were not approved.

In response to members' questions, officers clarified that:

- Landscaping matters would be approved after the application was decided upon;
- Flooding was not indicated as a significant risk but that as part of details submitted the applicant would need to demonstrate that any surface water drainage could be successfully handled on-site and that localised flooding risk would not be increased;
- The use of the £12,000 Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) local transport contribution would not be determined in the conditions;
- The new gradient of the wheelchair ramp was 1/20;
- The site did not warrant an ecology survey to be carried out.

Members heard representations against the application regarding the following points:

- That the development took no consideration of damage to the character of the road by introducing flats;
- That the proposals constituted overdevelopment that would result in loss of privacy to neighbouring properties;
- That there had been a change in political leadership since the original development was approved;
- That the flats would suffer from inadequate amenity space.

In response to objections officers clarified that the change in political control of the council did not affect the decisions of the local planning authority, and also that 3-bedroom properties, even when they were flats, were considered family homes. The amenity space provided was either equal to or in excess of that required by the London Plan.

The committee then made its deliberations. Councillor Appu Srinivasan moved the recommendation to GRANT planning permission. This was seconded by Councillor Mohammed Islam, and:

RESOLVED, unanimously to:

- 2.1 That the Committee resolve to GRANT planning permission
- 2.2 That the Director of Planning Sustainable Regeneration is delegated authority to issue the planning permission subject to:
 - A. The prior completion of a legal agreement to secure the following planning obligations:
 - 1. Sustainable transport contribution of £12,000
 - 2. S.278 agreement to secure highways works
 - 3. Monitoring fee
 - 4. Payment of the Council's reasonable legal costs
 - 5. Any other planning obligation(s) considered necessary by the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration
- 2.3 That the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delegated authority to negotiate the legal agreement indicated above.
- 2.4 That the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and impose conditions and informatives to secure the following matters:

Conditions

- 1. Submission of reserved matters within 3 years development shall be begun not later than five years from the date of this permission or two years from the final approval of all of the reserved matters
- 2. Development to be carried out in accordance with the approved drawings and reports Pre-commencement
- 3. Submission of Construction Logistics Plan
- 4. Drainage and SUDS strategy
- 5. Full landscaping details including, boundary treatments, hard and soft landscaping, playspace, planting, trees (including replacement planting to the front) and biodiversity enhancements. There shall be no net loss of trees. Prior to above ground works
- 6. Submission of materials and design details including screening to balconies
- 7. Development (as far as practicable) in accordance with accessible homes requirements M4(3) and M4(2)
- 8. Submission of delivery and servicing plan and design of bin enclosures

- 9. Details of existing and proposed levels and details of the design of the proposed retaining walls Prior to occupation
- 10. Details of external energy plant Compliance
- 11. Obscure glazing on flank windows above ground floor level
- 12. Compliance with bike store layout
- 13. Installation of vehicle parking spaces and compliance with highways technical note
- 14. Installation of EVCPs at 20% active and 80% passive
- 15. Compliance with Arboricultural Assessment and Tree Protection Plan
- 16. Compliance with Fire Statement
- 17. Water use target of 110l/p/d
- 18. Any other planning condition(s) considered necessary by the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport

INFORMATIVES

- 1. Granted subject to a Section 106 Agreement
- 2. Community Infrastructure Levy
- 3. Code of practice for Construction Sites
- 4. Highways informative in relation to s278 works required
- 5. Compliance with Building/Fire Regulations
- 6. Construction Logistics Informative
- 7. Refuse and cycle storage Informative
- 8. Thames Water
- 9. Any other informative(s) considered necessary by the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport
- 2.5 That the Committee confirms that adequate provision has been made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees as required by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2.6 That, if by 3 months the legal agreement has not been completed, the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delegated authority to refuse planning permission.

17/23 22/04337/FUL - 27 Woodfield Hill, Coulsdon, CR5 3ED

Officers presented the application to members and responded to members' questions as follows:

- That a swept-path analysis had demonstrated that cars parked in the properties to the rear of the development would not have to reverse out of the carpark to exit it;
- That the access path to the properties could be delineated to provide a pedestrian area;
- That the distance between the properties and the neighbouring properties was policy-compliant at between 18-22 metres;
- That the proposal was design-led and comprised a number of large family houses.

The committee then heard representations from Richard Walker and Councillor Luke Shortland, who objected to the proposals for the following reasons:

- The site would be inaccessible as the proposal required the removal of a hedge that did not belong to the site;
- There would be damage to the biodiversity of the site;
- The development would cause overlooking of neighbouring properties and would be overbearing;
- That the buildings would cause loss of privacy to the neighbouring properties and that it was out of keeping with the character of the area.

In response to issues raised, officers responded that three ecology reports had been done and that the external specialists who were consulted had not raised any objections to the application based on loss of biodiversity. Officers also responded that there was no evidence to suggest that the boundaries had been incorrectly marked.

After deliberation Councillor Sean Fitzsimons moved the officers' recommendation to grant planning permission. This was seconded by Councillor Mohammed Islam, put to the vote and:

RESOLVED, unanimously:

- 2.1 That the Committee resolves to GRANT planning permissions
- 2.2 That the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delighted authority to issue the planning permission subject to:
 - A. The prior completion of a legal agreement to secure the following planning obligations:
 - 1. Sustainable transport contribution of £9,000
 - 2. S.278 and/or S.38 agreement to secure highways works

- 3. Monitoring fee
- 4. Payment of the Council's reasonable legal costs
- Any other planning obligation(s) considered necessary by the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration
- 2.3 That the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delegated authority to negotiate the legal agreement indicated above.
- 2.4 That the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and impose conditions and informatives to secure the following matters:

Conditions

- 1. Commencement time limit of 3 years
- Development to be carried out in accordance with the approved drawings and Reports

Prior to above ground works

- 3. Submission of materials and design details
- 4. Pre-occupation Wildlife sensitive lighting design scheme
- 5. Development in accordance with accessible home requirement M4(3) and M4(2)
- 6. Submission of external energy plant details including PV panels and air source heat pumps
- 7. Details of bin and bike store layout
- 8. Details of finished floor levels and retaining walls

Compliance

- 9. Obscure glazing on flank windows above ground floor level
- 10. Compliance with SUDS details
- 11. Compliance with Delivery and Servicing Details
- 12. Compliance with Arboricultural Assessment and Tree Protection Plan
- 13. Compliance with Ecological Appraisal recommendations, including measures to protect birds, bats, hedgehogs and slow worms
- 14. Compliance with Fire Statement
- 15. Compliance with Construction Logistics Plan
- 16. Implementation of car parking ass shown on plans with no boundary treatments above 0.6m in the sightlines
- 17. Installation of at least 20% EVCPs

- 18. Water use target of 110/p/d
- 19. Removal of Permitted Development Rights
- 20. Any other planning condition(s) considered necessary by the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport

INFORMATIVES

- 1. Granted subject to a Section 106 Agreement
- 2. Community Infrastructure Levy
- 3. Code of practice for Construction Sites
- 4. Highways informative in relation to s278 works required
- 5. Compliance with Building/Fire Regulations
- 6. Construction Logistics Informative
- 7. Refuse and cycle storage Informative
- 8. Any other informative(s) considered necessary by the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport
- 2.5 That the Committee confirms that adequate provision has been made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees as required by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2.6 That, if by 3 months from the date of the committee meeting, the legal agreement has not been completed, the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration is delegate authority to refuse planning permission.

18/23 Items referred by Planning Sub-Committee

There were none.

19/23 Other planning matters

There were none.

20/23 Weekly Planning Decisions

These were noted by the committee.

	The meeting ended at 8.09 pm
Signed:	
Date:	

Planning Committee

Meeting of held on Thursday, 20 July 2023 at 6.30 pm in Council Chamber, Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Michael Neal (Chair);

Councillor Clive Fraser (Vice-Chair);

Councillors Ian Parker, Lara Fish, Mohammed Islam, Humayun Kabir and

Appu Srinivasan

Apologies: Councillor Simon Brew, Sean Fitzsimons and Mark Johnson

21/23 Minutes of Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 9 March 2023 were not reviewed by the committee at this meeting. Therefore the minutes will be submitted to a later meeting for agreement.

22/23 Disclosure of Interest

There were no disclosures of a pecuniary interest not already registered.

23/23 Urgent Business (if any)

There was none.

24/23 Planning applications for decision

Three applications were brought before the committee for decision at this meeting and are detailed below.

25/23 **5.1 Ref: 23/00454/FUL 46 Quail Gardens South Croydon**

Officers presented the report to the committee, highlighting that, since previous refusal of an application by officers, the scheme had been improved by reducing the number of proposed units so that the gardens were more spacious and benefited from the amount of daylight required by BRE

guidance. The applicant had also agreed to pay a contribution towards the provision of sustainable transport, and had agreed to provide for car club membership. The windows had also been changed to improve internal daylight and sunlight conditions.

In response to members' questions officers explained that:

- Two diseased or poor condition trees would be removed as part of the proposal and 28 trees would be provided within the communal space and there would be some additional trees in some of the rear gardens;
- · Drainage would be managed by an infiltration system;
- · Car club membership would be provided for 3 years, which was standard practice;
- The applicant had proposed a public route to connect to Selsdon Woods behind the development.

The committee heard objections for the following reasons:

- That additional car use by residents would cause unworkable congestion on the roads;
- Flooding and overspilling sewage would be caused by providing more houses;
- Building in the area would compromise the building structure of other houses on the road;
- A resident of another house on the street who had significant care needs would be distressed by the building work.

Officers responded to the issues raised by explaining that Thames Water had not objected to the plans for managing surface water drainage and sewage management. Officers confirmed that the applicant could be encouraged to make contact with any neighbours who may be directly affected by building works.

After consideration of the officer's report, Councillor Luke Shortland proposed and Councillor Danielle Denton seconded the officer's recommendation (as set out in section 2 of the officer's report) and the Committee voted with 8 votes in favour, 1 against, and 1 abstention so planning permission was **GRANTED** for development at 46 Quail Gardens.

26/23 **5.2 Ref: 21/06145/FUL 2 Beech Avenue South Croydon**

Officers presented the report and in response to members' questions explained that:

- · First Homes was a form of intermediate tenure available only to first time buyers with a household income below £90,000 per annum which must be priced at 30% under the market value and retained at that value in perpetuity;
- The applicant had done a viability assessment which had concluded that providing 35% affordable housing would not be viable, and the applicant had not been able to secure a register provider so it would not be possible to secure a grant to increase the delivery of affordable housing on site;
- There would be defensible space around the outside of the ground floor units.

Members heard objections on the following grounds:

- It would cause detrimental impact on the character of the area and harm to the street scene with the loss of a generous verdant boundary around the development;
- That the underground parking provided for in the fallback scheme was preferable to the visibility of cars parked at street level;
- The built form was too large overall.

Officers responded to the objections, clarifying that the built form of the proposal under consideration was not as large as the fallback proposal, that the green boundary around the site would be retained and that car parking would not be overtly visible from the street.

After consideration of the report, the Chair moved to vote on the officers' recommendation. The Committee voted with 0 votes in favour, 4 against, and 6 abstentions, so this motion thereby fell.

A second motion for **DEFERRAL** so that a further Affordable Housing assessment could be carried out was proposed by Councillor Clive Fraser and seconded by Councillor Humayun Kabir, with 5 votes in favour and 5 votes against, with the Chair using his casting vote against, so this motion thereby fell.

The Committee then voted on a third motion for **REFUSAL**, on the grounds of the impact on the street scene and over-dominance was proposed by Councillor Ian Parker and seconded by Councillor Danielle Denton, with 5 votes in favour, 2 against, and 3 abstentions, so planning permission was **REFUSED** for development at 2 Beech Avenue.

27/23 5.3 Ref: 21/04380/FUL 15-17 Wattendon Road Kenley

Officers presented the application to the committee and in response to questions from members clarified that:

- There would be one access point and each property would have its own rubbish refuse location at the front of the properties and not on the main highway;
- Subservience is taken from within the context of the site and surrounding area. Given the topography of the site, distance and visibility from Wattendon Road the development was regarded as subservient. The separation from other properties, distance from the street, and land levels meant that the properties would not have a dominant visual impact on the street.

The committee heard objections based on the following points:

- The development would cause overlooking of neighbours;
- This development would not maintain the character of the local area;
- Townhouses were not appropriate among bungalows;
- · Traffic build-up would be caused and would be dangerous;
- Parking is insufficient;
- Noise, vibrations and physical intrusions would harm wildlife;
- There would be increased light pollution, traffic and air pollution.

After consideration of the officer's report, Councillor Clive Fraser proposed and Councillor Appu Srinivasan seconded the officer's recommendation and the Committee voted with 5 in favour, 5 against, and the Chair using his casting vote against, so this motion thereby fell.

A second motion for **REFUSAL**, on the grounds of incongruity with the area, nonconformity to the pattern of development in the area, lack of subservience to the host property, and the lack of legal agreement related to the sustainable transport contribution, was proposed by Councillor Danielle Denton and seconded by Councillor Ian Parker.

The Committee then voted on a second motion for **REFUSAL**, with 5 votes in favour, 5 against, and the Chair using his casting vote against, so planning permission was **REFUSED** for development at 15-17 Wattendon Road.

28/23 Other planning matters

was noted by the committee.	

The accompanying report by the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport

	The meeting ended at 9.29 pm
Signed:	
Date:	



PLANNING COMMITTEE AGENDA

PART 5: Development Presentations

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This part of the agenda is for the committee to receive presentations on proposed developments, including when they are at the pre-application stage.
- 1.2 Although the reports are set out in a particular order on the agenda, the Chair may reorder the agenda on the night. Therefore, if you wish to be present for a particular application, you need to be at the meeting from the beginning.
- 1.3 The following information and advice applies to all those reports.

2 ADVICE TO MEMBERS

- 2.1 These proposed developments are being reported to committee to enable members of the committee to view them at an early stage and to comment upon them. They do not constitute applications for planning permission at this stage and any comments made are provisional and subject to full consideration of any subsequent application and the comments received as a result of consultation, publicity and notification.
- 2.2 Members will need to pay careful attention to the probity rules around predisposition, predetermination and bias (set out in the Planning Code of Good Practice Part 5.G of the Council's Constitution). Failure to do so may mean that the Councillor will need to withdraw from the meeting for any subsequent application when it is considered.

3 FURTHER INFORMATION

3.1 Members are informed that any relevant material received since the publication of this part of the agenda, concerning items on it, will be reported to the Committee in an Addendum Update Report.

4 PUBLIC SPEAKING

4.1 The Council's constitution only provides for public speaking rights for those applications being reported to Committee in the "Planning Applications for Decision" part of the agenda. Therefore reports on this part of the agenda do not attract public speaking rights.

5 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

5.1 For further information about the background papers used in the drafting of the reports in part 8 contact Mr P Mills (020 8760 5419).

6 RECOMMENDATION

6.1 The Committee is not required to make any decisions with respect to the reports on this part of the agenda. The attached reports are presented as background information.




Agenda Item 51

CROYDON

www.croydon.gov.uk

Reference number: 18/05474/PRE





Scale 1:1250 Crown Copyright Ordnance Survey (License No: 100019257) 2011



Item 1

1. DETAILS OF THE DEVELOPMENT

Ref: 18/05474/PRE

Location: Woburn and Bedford Court, Wellesley Road, Croydon

Ward: Fairfield

Description: To demolish the existing buildings and erect a development to

provide 464 residential units (Use Class C3), with 1,761sqm of flexible floor space (Use Classes E / F1 and Sui Generis) at ground and first floor, public realm, communal amenity and child play space, together with associated wheelchair accessible

vehicle parking and cycle parking.

Applicant: Ivel Limited

Agent: James Owens, Rapleys

Case Officer: Chris Stacey

2. PROCEDURAL NOTE

- 2.1 This proposed development is being reported to Planning Committee to enable Members to view it at pre-application stage and to comment upon it. The development does not constitute an application for planning permission and any comments made upon it are provisional, and subject to full consideration of any subsequent applications, including any comments received as a result of consultation, publicity and notification.
- 2.2 It should be noted that this report represents a snapshot in time, with negotiations and dialogue on-going. The plans and information provided to date are indicative only and as such the depth of analysis provided corresponds with the scope of information that has been made available to Council officers. Other issues may arise as more detail is provided and the depth of analysis expanded upon.
- 2.3 The report covers the following points:
 - Executive Summary
 - Site Briefing
 - Place Review Panel Feedback
 - Summary of Matters for Consideration
 - Specific Feedback Requested

3. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3.1 The scheme has so far been developed through extensive pre-application engagement with officers. The scheme has most recently been considered by the Place Review Panel (PRP) on 15th June 2023 and their views are covered in section 5.

- 3.2 Discussions so far have focused on the principle of the development, the scale/height/massing, the design approach, impact on the streetscape and heritage assets (including the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area), impact on the skyline from longer range views, landscaping and public realm, impacts on neighbouring buildings (in terms of light/outlook/privacy etc.) and transportation matters. Discussions are ongoing in relation to these matters and with regards to affordable housing provision, and technical matters such as microclimate issues. Due to its height the proposed development is referable to the Greater London Authority (GLA). The applicants have had pre-application discussions with officers of the GLA most recently on the 01st August 2023 (with an LBC officer in attendance). Officers are awaiting the formal response from the GLA.
- 3.3 It is anticipated that a single full planning application will be submitted to cover the whole site.

4. SITE BRIEFING

Site and Surroundings

- 4.1 The application site (generally level and circa 1 ha in site area) is located at the northern end of Wellesley Road at its junction with Newgate at the northern edge of the Croydon Opportunity Area. The site is bounded to the west by Wellesley Road, to the north by Newgate, the 'Island' development and Tavistock Court, to the east by Tavistock Road, The Elms and St Mary's secondary school, and to the south by The Elms, St Mary's secondary school and 76 Wellesley Road. It is approximately 400m from West Croydon Rail Station, 900m from East Croydon Rail Station and 300m from the edge of the Primary Shopping Area. It should be noted that the site boundary includes a circa 8.5m wide strip of land fronting Wellesley Road which is not currently in the applicant's control and is Council owned land.
- 4.2 The existing Woburn and Bedford Court complex, constructed in the 1960s/1970s, comprises of 8 low rise residential blocks of either 3 or 4 storeys in height housing a total of 80 maisonettes and flats as well as 8 single storey garage blocks, along with extensive areas of hardstanding, used for car parking and vehicular access, and soft landscaping. There are a total of 90 car parking spaces currently on the site. Vehicular access to the site is either currently from Wellesley Road or Tavistock Road. The existing buildings on site are generally in a poor state of repair and are reaching the end of their lifespan with the site also suffering from considerable levels of anti-social behaviour including drug dealing and fly tipping.
- 4.3 The surrounding area is highly mixed in character and predominantly comprises of either residential or community uses. Whilst notable landmarks including the 'Island' development, which rises to 21 storeys, and the Saffron Square development, which rises to 44 storeys, sit in close proximity to the site, the majority of buildings within the immediate context of the site are 3-4 storeys in height, many of which form part of the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area

which extends along the western side of Wellesley Road, directly opposite the site, and adjoins the site on its south side.



Figure 1: Site location plan



Figure 2: Aerial view of site (highlighted in red)



Figure 3: Existing buildings on site (viewed from Wellesley Road)

Designations

- 4.4 The following designations apply to the site:
 - The site is located within the Croydon Opportunity Area (COA).
 - The site is not allocated within the current Croydon Local Plan (2018).

- The site sits within the 'Outer' area as defined by the Croydon Local Plan (2018) and Croydon Opportunity Area Planning Framework (COAPF) where there is 'no tall building policy'.
- Whilst the site itself does not sit within a designated conservation area, and none of the existing buildings on the site are subject to any heritage designations, the site does sit adjacent to the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area which covers the area directly to the south of the site and that on the opposite side of Wellesley Road (see green hatch in Figure 4). Whilst none of the buildings within said conservation area are statutory listed, St Mary's Church is locally listed. Further afield however are the Grade I listed Church of St Michael and All Angels and the Grade II* listed Church of St James.
- The site has excellent Public Transport Accessibility (PTAL 6b), being in close proximity to East and West Croydon Stations and numerous bus and tram links.
- All the roads around the site are within the 'East Outer' Controlled Parking Zone
- Wellesley Road, which passes to the front of the site, forms part of the 'Strategic Road Network'.
- The site is in Flood Zone 1 however parts of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.




Figure 4: Extract from policies map (current Croydon Local Plan (2018)) – red line denotes applicant's ownership.

4.5 The Council is currently in the process of partially reviewing the Croydon Local Plan which is expected to be consulted on in early 2024. It should be noted that as was the case in the previously consulted on Regulation 19 version of the Croydon Local Plan Review, it is anticipated that this site will form part of a site

allocation within said document (see Figure 5). Given the stage that the partial review is currently at the below allocation at this point in time has very limited weight.

133: Woburn and Bedford Court

Place	Postcode	Size	Site description	Type of location	Public Transport Accessibility	Local chard	icter
Croydon Opportunity Area	CRO 2AE	1.14ha	Various low rise residential blocks and associated parking and amenity land	Central	High	Medium rise blocks with o	associated grounds
Descriptio						Evidence of deliverability	
Additional residential Residential de development		velopment will help to m homes in the borou		Post 2032	Site is subject to developer interest but there are a number of issues that need to be overcome before the site can be developed	Up to 505	

Figure 5: Extract from the Local Plan Review - site allocation

Relevant Planning History

4.6 The following planning applications on the site are relevant to this scheme:

19/03746/ENVS: Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Scoping Opinion

Request for a mixed use residential and commercial development comprising of up to 600 residential units and 1000sqm of flexible community/commercial uses – EIA

Scope Approved 20.09.2019.

4.7 The following planning applications on neighbouring sites are relevant to this scheme:

Tavistock Court:

20/02630/FUL: Erection of 3 storey building on western car park area to

provide 6 dwellings with associated landscaping/works -

Permission Granted 26.03.2021.

Proposal

- 4.8 The proposal has been significantly amended during the course of on-going discussions. The current proposal (known as 'Option 1') is for the following:
 - Demolition of the existing buildings.
 - Erection of four buildings, Block 1 would be 32 storeys, Block 2 would be 26 storeys, Block 3 would be 13 storeys and Block 4 would be 4-7 storeys.
 - Provision of 464 flats, including for sale and affordable housing.
 - 1,761sqm of flexible floor space (Use Classes E / F1 and Sui Generis) at ground and first floor.
 - Provision of extensive public realm in the form of a new public square, new east-west link and improvements fronting Wellesley Road.

- A new landscaped space in place of the current garaging to the adjacent 'The Elms' site (outside of the red line and subject to agreement with both the Council and third parties).
- 14 blue badge car parking spaces and 1 car club bay.
- Provision of 820 cycle parking spaces.
- Communal outdoor amenity space within the public realm and rooftops.
- Indoor communal space.
- Cycle and refuse storage within the buildings including at basement level.
- 4.9 Following on from verbal feedback received from the GLA at the most recent meeting on 1st August, coupled with ongoing discussions with officers, the applicant has also sought to test two further variations of the scheme, known as 'Option 2' and 'Option 3' which officers would appreciate seeking members feedback on (this is further detailed in the 'Massing' section of this report). Save for the following deviations said options would generally remain the same as described above:
 - Option 2 Block 1 would be 26 storeys and Block 2 would be 32 storeys.
 - Option 3 Block 1 would be 15 storeys; Block 2 would be 24 storeys and Block 3 would be 30 storeys.
- 4.10 The current proposed unit mix comprises (there would be minor variations to this in options 2 and 3):

Size	Units	% Mix
1b/2p	218	47%
2b/3p	147	32%
2b/4p	8	2%
3b/4p	8	2%
3b/5p	83	18%



Figure 6: Proposed block plan



Figure 7: Aerial view of proposal



Figure 8: View of proposal looking north along Wellesley Road

5. PLACE REVIEW PANEL FEEDBACK

5.1 Whilst earlier iterations of the scheme were presented to PRP, given the extent of changes to the scheme, passage of time since and the fact that the design team has also changed, the below summary only covers the scheme's most recent PRP review which took place on 15th June 2023.



Figure 9: Proposal presented to PRP.

5.2 General Comments

The Panel noted that the Applicant did not talk about the domestic quality
of the homes, and suggested further understanding of what it would be
like to live there, such as through interviews with those already living on
Wellesley Road.

- The Panel noted that a thorough analysis has been done, but the scheme comes across as rather clinical and lacking soul. The Panel encouraged the Applicant to make the vision more place-specific, as much of it is generic and it noted that there can be a danger of this leading to a generic solution.
- The Panel advised the Applicant to conduct detailed studies on wind, acoustics, and sunlight and to understand their constraints on the ground floor plane.
- The Applicant was encouraged to think about what would happen if Really Local Group pulled out and what the strategy might be to prevent those spaces not just becoming generic office space. The Panel noted that the Applicant needs to be careful about how the affordability of the nonresidential spaces are managed to ensure accessibility.

5.3 Landscape, Amenity, and Public Realm

- The Panel noted the need to consider blue roofs, permeability, absorbency, and water management.
- The Panel urged the Applicant to consider what they are giving back to the neighbourhood in terms of amenity and play, taking into consideration there is a school next door and families living in the bigger flats.
- The Panel raised concerns about providing play space on the roof, particularly for older children.
- While the Applicant commented that they are considering putting winter gardens on the lower levels, the Panel noted that it seems better to have them on the upper levels where there are harsher conditions and therefore enable the balconies to be more usable at these levels throughout the year.
- The Panel appreciated having the residential entrances away from the main road.

5.4 Massing and Design

- The Panel enjoyed the detailed design of Block 4 and questioned why that precision and care was not brought to the podium. The Panel noted that the podiums are not as well resolved and suggested incorporating more playfulness/joyfulness and providing more detail in terms of the ground floor plane. The Panel suggested treating the base of the building with more care as this is the experience that most people will have with the building.
- The Panel further expressed reservations about the podium treatment of Block 3 and wondered if it would be better to have more distinction than the two taller buildings. The Panel suggested exploring treating this block as a standalone 'villa' that comes to ground rather than have the same treatment and appearing as a shrunk down version of the taller buildings.
- The Panel noted that the Applicant talked about referencing the urban setting and having an urban response.

- The Panel was not convinced about the usability of balconies, which are likely to be quite windy owing to the height and location by Wellesley Road.
- The Panel commented that the particular section across Block 3 and 76 Wellesley Road does not appear to work and that it seems like it is picking up on a historic street pattern that is no longer there. The Panel noted that there should be a gap between the existing building and Block 3 to ensure the existing building retains the appearance of a 'villa' which is more is more consistent with the prevailing urban grain.
- The Panel noted that the location of the plant on the northern elevation would be a blank elevation and encouraged the Applicant to think about the pedestrian experience of this on the street.
- The Panel raised concerns with the proposed full height glazing and potential overheating issues this may cause.

5.5 Summary

The Panel recognised that this is a difficult site and are supportive of much of the scheme's strategy, including the height, landscape treatment, and engagement with an operator for the non-residential units. It was also considered that the applicant team had undertaken a very thorough analysis and rigorous review of the site which is to be commended. In summary, the Panel strongly recommends the Applicant to:

- Consider the character of Croydon and the specificity of the site;
- Ensure there is sufficient play space provision and further refine how it is distributed around the site;
- Consider alternatives and adaptability for the ground floor should it not work out with the operator;
- Conduct environmental modelling of wind, acoustics, and air quality;
- Provide a clear sustainability strategy and target Passivhaus standards;
- Tie in Block 3 with the surrounding context more and/or with Block 4;
- Resolve the crown and podium treatments;
- And give more thought on what it would be like to arrive, experience, and live within the scheme.
- 5.6 Subsequent to the feedback from PRP, the following amendments have been made:
 - Removal of the link piece between Block 3 and 76 Wellesley Road.
 - Development of the proposed architectural design, most notably regarding the podium fronting Wellesley Road.
 - Consideration of different massing options for the 3 tall buildings fronting Wellesley Road.
 - Further development of the internal layouts, including the non-residential uses
 - Further development of the landscaping scheme including the child play strategy across the site.

6 SUMMARY OF MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION

- 6.1 The main matters for consideration in a future submission are as follows:
 - Principle of Development
 - Design, Townscape and Heritage
 - Impact on Surrounding Occupiers
 - Tenure, Mix and Quality of Accommodation
 - · Access, Parking and Highway Impacts
 - Environmental Matters
 - Other Matters
 - Mitigation

Principle of Development

Residential Development

- 6.2 The Croydon Local Plan sets a housing target of 32,890 homes over a 20-year period from 2016-2036 (1,645 homes per year). The London Plan requires 20,790 of those homes to be delivered within a shorter 10-year period (2019-2029), resulting in a higher target of 2,079 homes per year. The current housing target is therefore 2,079 homes per annum up to 2029.
- 6.3 The existing site currently has a total of 80 homes, and it is recognised that many of the existing homes on the site, as well as the site more widely, is in a poor state of repair, with the wider estate suffering from considered anti-social behaviour issues. As such the redevelopment of the site to provide better quality housing is strongly supported. Furthermore, the proposed redevelopment of the site would result in the delivery of 464 homes, representing a net uplift of 384 homes and such a quantum of housing delivery would make a significant contribution towards the Council's housing targets (as outlined above) which alone is a considerable benefit of the proposed scheme. Finally given the fact that the site sits within the Croydon Opportunity Area and has an excellent PTAL of 6b, the site represents a highly sustainable location for the delivery of new homes.

Provision of Flexible Non-Residential Use

6.4 Whilst the site lies within the Croydon Opportunity Area, the site falls outside of the Croydon Metropolitan Centre, however given its close proximity it is deemed to represent an 'edge of centre' location. Policy DM8 of the Croydon Local Plan outlines that subject to the submission of a sequential test which satisfactorily demonstrates that a main town centre use cannot be accommodated, on sites or in units that are both suitable and available, within a town centre or existing vacant units in edge of centre locations, such proposals will be acceptable in principle, provided the site is accessible and well connected to the town centre. Policy DM19 of the Croydon Local Plan states that the Council will support applications for community uses where the proposals are flexible and adaptable, are accessible to town centres, and if proposing a main town centre use are directed towards town centre locations.

- 6.5 The applicant is proposing a total of 1,761sqm of flexible non-residential floor space both at ground floor level in Block 1 and at ground, first and second floor levels in Block 2. Said proposed use would span various use classes (which includes a mixture of commercial and community orientated uses) and is broken down as follows:
 - Use Class E (b, c, d, e, f and g(i)) to cover: Offices (inc. co-working); café/restaurant; professional services; medical services; fitness; crèche; day nursery; and day centre.
 - Use Class F1 (a, b, e and f) to cover: Education; display space; exhibition/public hall and worship.
 - Sui Generis (a, p, s, t, u, v and x) to cover: Theatre; drinking establishment; live music venue; cinema; concert hall; bingo; and dance hall.
- 6.6 Given that many of the above uses constitute a 'main town centre' use in line with the NPPF, it will be necessary for the applicant to submit a sequential test as part of any future planning application to ensure compliance with the aforementioned policies. Notwithstanding this requirement however in this circumstance the applicant is already in discussions with a potential tenant called 'The Really Local Group' to take the proposed flexible non-residential floor space in Block 2 (totalling 1,483sqm), and given that the space is being designed with them in mind the applicant is likely to able to demonstrate that the demand for this element of the non-residential use is locationally specific, thus satisfying a sequential test.
- 6.7 It does however need to be recognised that there is no guarantee that the 'The Really Local Group' will occupy this space and at present it is not envisaged that permission for this space will be granted in the form of a 'personal permission', and as such any sequential test that is submitted as part of a future planning application will also need to demonstrate that the floor space being proposed is sequentially preferable for the range of uses being proposed. Related to this point further work to demonstrate how this space would work and be adaptable for other occupiers is also required should the currently envisaged tenant not take on this space. Subject to the applicant demonstrating the above no objection to the proposed provision of non-residential uses within the development is raised.

Conclusion

6.8 There is strong support for the redevelopment of this site for an intensified residential development due both to its regenerative benefits and contribution towards the Council's housing targets, and subject to it being suitably demonstrated through a sequential test, the provision of the proposed non-residential uses are also deemed to be acceptable.

Design, Townscape and Heritage

Overall Policy Context

- 6.9 Policies D1, D2, D3, D4 and D8 of the London Plan seek to ensure that development makes the best use of land by following a design-led approach that optimises the capacity of sites, enhances local context by delivering buildings and spaces that positively respond to local distinctiveness, are of a high design quality, and ensure that new public realm is well-designed, safe, accessible, inclusive, attractive, well-connected, related to the historic context, and easy to service and maintain. Policy D9 of the London Plan sets out where tall buildings should be directed to and requires them to address a range of visual impacts and be of an architectural quality and materiality that is of an exemplary standard. Further to the above policies HC1 and HC3 of the London Plan outline that development proposals affecting heritage assets, and their settings, should conserve their significance and ensure locally designated views are suitably protected.
- 6.10 Policies SP4 and DM10 of the Croydon Local Plan require development to be of a high quality which respects and enhances Croydon's varied local character and contributes positively to public realm, landscape and townscape to create sustainable communities, respect the development pattern, scale, massing and appearance of the surrounding area, have high quality architectural detailing and provide landscaped spaces which are visually attractive, easily accessible and safe for all users. Policy DM14 of the Croydon Local Plan requires all major schemes to include public art and policy DM15 of the Croydon Local Plan requires tall buildings to respect and enhance local character, be of exceptional quality and demonstrate that a sensitive approach has been taken in the articulation and composition of the building form which is proportionate to its scale. Policy DM17 of the Croydon Local Plan requires development to enhance Croydon Panoramas and policy DM18 of the Croydon Local Plan requires development to preserve and enhance the character, appearance and setting of heritage assets within the borough. Finally whilst policy DM38 of the Croydon Local Plan requires development within the Croydon Opportunity Area to be undertaken in a cohesive and coordinated manner and sets out policies for the assessment of tall buildings within both the 'Central Area' and 'Edge Area' of the Croydon Opportunity Area, none of the place specific elements of this policy relate to this part of the 'Outer Area' of the Croydon Opportunity Area, with the supporting diagram to this policy outlining that there is no tall building policy in place for this location (see Figure 10).




Figure 10: Extract from Croydon Local Plan illustrating the extent of the 'Central Area', 'Edge Area' and 'Outer Area'. The site boundary is also overlaid on this plan.

6.11 The Croydon Opportunity Area Planning Framework sets out a series of aspirations for public realm improvements across the Croydon Opportunity Area and also sets out guidance around building heights and form. Unlike the more recently adopted Croydon Local Plan, guidance surrounding the acceptability for tall buildings within the 'Outer Area' is provided. Whilst this sets out that in general tall buildings are unlikely to be acceptable in the 'Outer Area', the guidance sets out that it is not appropriate to apply a blanket approach to the acceptability (or not) of tall buildings in this area and therefore no exact height or range of heights has been set for this area. Furthermore, the guidance recognises that there are numerous physical and site-specific differences that will require careful consideration on a case-by-case basis to determine an appropriate height at planning application stage. As is elaborated on further in paragraphs 6.17 to 6.23 of this report, in this circumstance it is deemed that the physical and site-specific circumstances of this site (coupled with other material considerations) justify the provision of tall buildings on this site in line with the guidance set out in Croydon Opportunity Area Planning Framework. In respect of the form of tall buildings, it is recommended that they should achieve a slenderness ratio of 1:3, and in order to ensure that they relate well to their surroundings at street level a 'plinth and tower' approach is generally supported. Finally, guidance on how new tall buildings impact on views, both within the Croydon Opportunity Area and from afar, is also provided.

6.12 In addition to the above policy position, and given the scheme's potential to impact on a number of surrounding heritage assets, it is necessary in line with Sections 66 and 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 to have special regard to both the desirability of preserving any surrounding listed buildings or their setting and preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of any surrounding conservation areas.

Site Layout

6.13 The proposed layout of the site seeks to provide a total of four buildings, 3 of which (Blocks 1, 2 and 3) would be positioned on the western side of the site fronting Wellesley Road, with the fourth (Block 4) being positioned along the eastern 'arm' of the site extending to Tavistock Road. A new public square would be positioned between Blocks 1 and 2 adjacent to Wellesley Road and would lead through to a new street that connects Tavistock Road to Newgate enabling east-west pedestrian connections between Wellesley Road and Tavistock Road. Blocks 1, 2 and 3 would take the form of towers sitting atop a lower podium, with the front building line of the podium aligning with No's 72-76 Wellesley Road, following the historic building line of Wellesley Road (which the existing buildings currently do not respect), whilst Block 4 would take the form of a linear block flanking the newly proposed street. A turning head leading to an enclosed parking area is provided between Blocks 2 and 3, where these buildings would be serviced from and further limited parking is also proposed at the western end of Block 4 opposite a servicing layby adjacent to Block 1. In addition to the proposed public square, extensive areas of soft landscaping are provided fronting Wellesley Road, along the southern and eastern boundaries of the site to the rear of Blocks 2 and 3, and subject to agreement with both the Council and third parties (discussed elsewhere in this report), on adjacent land within 'The Elms'. Communal amenity and child play space is provided throughout the development both within the landscaping at ground level, at podium level and at roof level to some of the lower buildings. Within the buildings themselves active frontages are provided along the majority of the Wellesley Road frontage, both the north and south sides of the new public square and fronting onto the newly proposed street. Residential entrances to Blocks 1 and 2 would front the new public square, with the entrance to Block 3 being adjacent to Wellesley Road, and multiple building entrances to Block 4 would be positioned both fronting Tavistock Road and the newly proposed street.



Figure 11: Proposed ground floor in context

6.14 In developing the proposed site layout consideration has also been given to how adjacent sites could come forward in the future (notably the adjacent St Mary's Secondary School) and this exercise (see Figure 12) has informed the resultant site layout allowing for additional future north-south connections between Newgate and Woburn Road, as well as a further east-west connection between Wellesley Road and Tavistock Road.




Figure 12: Masterplanning exercise to demonstrate overall strategy to site layout.

- 6.15 Overall officers are of the view that the proposed site layout would respect the general development pattern of the area, in particular reinforcing existing building lines along both Wellesley Road and Tavistock Road, enables the provision of new high quality pedestrian links through what is currently a large urban block, providing more appealing alternatives to the busy streets of St James's Road, Newgate and Wellesley Road, and has been designed in mind of how neighbouring sites could come forward in the future. The provision of a new public square between Blocks 1 and 2 is strongly welcomed given the relative absence of public space within the immediate surroundings, and the general approach to servicing and on-site parking has enabled a design and layout that limits the impacts of vehicle movements across the site. Further work is still required relating to the newly proposed street adjacent to Block 4 to demonstrate that pedestrian movements through this space are suitably prioritised and to ensure that this feels like a welcoming and generous route across the site. Whilst the removal of the podium element between the southern edge of Block 3 and No.76 Wellesley Road is welcomed by officers (as requested by PRP) further interrogation of this space, including any boundary treatment to Wellesley Road, is required to ensure that this space positively contributes towards the street scene.
- 6.16 Given the presence of a basement beneath Blocks 1 and 2, which houses a considerable portion of the 'back of house' facilities for these buildings (such as refuse and cycle stores) a considerable portion of the key facades are freed up to provide active uses, and officers are generally content with the proportion of active frontages provided across the development. It is however noteworthy that

both the north façade of Block 1 (fronting Newgate) and the west façade of Block 3 (fronting Wellesley Road) feature plant and cycle stores respectively and further work to demonstrate that the treatment of these facades presents a suitable condition to the street is still required.

Massing

Current Proposal:

- 6.17 The proposed development seeks to provide four buildings of 32, 26, 13 and 4-7 storeys. In line with both policy DM15 of the Croydon Local Plan and D9 of the London Plan, the proposals seek to provide a series of tall buildings on this site and it is therefore necessary to consider in the first instance the acceptability of the provision of tall buildings on this site.
- 6.18 As has been outlined in the 'Overall Policy Context' section of this report the site sits within the 'Outer' area of the Croydon Opportunity Area. Whilst the Croydon Opportunity Area Planning Framework does not set out a blanket approach to the acceptability (or not) of tall buildings in these locations it instead recognises that there are numerous physical and site specific differences that will require careful consideration on a case-by-case basis to determine an appropriate height at planning application stage, the more recently adopted Croydon Local Plan is in effect silent on the acceptability or otherwise of tall buildings in this location. Policy D9 of the London Plan does not preclude the provision of a tall building in a location that has not otherwise been clearly identified through the Development Plan as being suitable for a tall building so long as compliance with part c) of this policy (which covers visual and heritage impacts, architectural impacts and environmental impacts (amongst other considerations)) of this policy can be demonstrated, a position confirmed through relevant case law (*R (London Borough of Hillingdon) v Mayor of London (Lang J, 15 December 2021)*).
- 6.19 Given the above there is no in principle objection to the provision of tall buildings on this site subject to the impacts of said buildings being acceptable. Whilst officers recognise that the heights proposed are significantly greater than adjacent buildings, officers are mindful that the regeneration of this site (which involves the acquisition of 80 existing homes), whilst providing a decent affordable housing offer alongside a host of public benefits will ultimately necessitate a significant increase in the number of homes on site (a position underpinned by high level viability evidence that has previously been shared with officers and independently verified) and it is therefore important to weight the impacts of the proposed development against the notable benefits of the proposal.
- 6.20 In arranging the massing of the proposed development consideration of the scheme's relationship with the adjacent Wellesley Road North Conservation Area, which sits both opposite and to the south of the site has been had, as well as the site's relationship to its north at the corner of Wellesley Road and Newgate, where the presence of the 'Island' building, coupled with the fact that this junction represents a key gateway into the town centre, presents a potential opportunity for the provision of a landmark building. The more suburban

character of Tavistock Road has also necessitated a different response to this part of the site. When taken together these considerations has led to the development of a stepped series of towers along Wellesley Road, descending in height from Newgate, set behind a 3-storey podium element which seeks to reference the datum of the houses on the opposite side of the road in the conservation area. Block 4 which extends from the proposed public square to Tavistock Road gradually descends in height such that it respects existing neighbouring building heights fronting the street.



Figure 13: View from Newgate looking south.



Figure 14: View from the junction of Tavistock Road and St James's Road

- 6.21 Whilst it is recognised that the proposed massing strategy ultimately results in building heights stepping up away from the town centre (which is a strategy the GLA have raised concerns with), given the various adjacent conditions (as described above) such an approach to massing is deemed to be an appropriate response to the site, a position which PRP concurred with. Extensive views testing of the proposed massing from numerous vantage points around the site (some of which are illustrated in Figures 13 and 14) has been undertaken and officers are of the view that this exercise demonstrates that the proposed approach successfully relates to the varying conditions around the site, with both the stepping down to Tavistock Road and the buildings to the south of the site, coupled with the presence of a podium element fronting Wellesley Road successfully mediating and integrating the proposed tall buildings with their lower rise context. Throughout the pre-application process previous iterations of the scheme have proposed bulkier buildings with larger footprints and in a number of local views this was deemed to result in a proposal that was overbearing and had significant adverse impacts upon townscape. Substantial work has since been undertaken to dramatically reduce the footprint of the proposed buildings, and thus their bulk, which officers consider more meaningfully lessens the impact of the proposals comparative to simply reducing building heights (which would further negatively impact upon their relative slenderness). Officers are now of the view that the overall slenderness of the tall buildings proposed is acceptable and provides an elegant form, that subject to high quality architectural detailing will result in a positive addition to the wider townscape.
- 6.22 In respect of the absolute heights of the proposed development (32, 26, 13 and 4-7 storeys), as has been previously cited high level viability testing (which has been independently verified) has been undertaken to demonstrate that such a quantum of development is necessary to both unlock the site for development, whilst also providing the quantum of affordable housing proposed coupled with the other public benefits associated with the development, and it is the view of officers that the current massing presents a suitable balance between heights and bulk and officers are therefore accepting of the proposed heights on this site. It is also noteworthy that following on from the most recent PRP review on 15th June 2023, the Panel concluded that they were supportive of the proposed heights.




Figure 15: View from Addington Hills




Figure 16: View from Purley Way Playing Fields

6.23 In addition to testing the proposed massing strategy in a number of immediate and local views, wider views testing of the proposed scheme has also been undertaken including from a number of designated 'Croydon Panoramas' (some of which are illustrated in Figures 15 and 16). From an initial analysis of these views, officers are content that the proposed massing would complement the existing tall building cluster of the Croydon Opportunity Area and maintains a step down from the taller buildings within the 'Central' portion of the Croydon Opportunity Area when viewed from these more distant views.

Alternative Massing Options:

- 6.24 As detailed in paragraph 4.9 of this report following on from verbal feedback received from the GLA at the most recent meeting on 1st August, coupled with ongoing discussions with officers, the applicant has also sought to test two further variations of the scheme's massing, known as 'Option 2' and 'Option 3' which, in addition to the currently proposed massing, officers would appreciate seeking members feedback on. Said options would deviate from the currently proposed massing in the following ways:
 - Option 2 Block 1 would be 26 storeys and Block 2 would be 32 storeys.
 - Option 3 Block 1 would be 15 storeys, Block 2 would be 24 storeys and Block 3 would be 30 storeys.




Figure 17: Proposal fronting Wellesley Road in context (Option 1 top, Option 2 middle and Option 3 bottom)

6.25 The first alternative option tested ('Option 2') simply seeks to switch Blocks 1 and 2 around, such that the tallest element of the proposal would sit in the centre of the site as opposed to at its northern end at the junction of Wellesley Road and Newgate. In this option the heights of Blocks 3 and 4 would remain the same,

and in respect of Block 3, its relationship with the buildings directly to its south (which sit within the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area) would remain unchanged. Whilst a tall building would still be proposed on the corner of Wellesley Road and Newgate, the differential between Block 1 in this option and the 'Island' building would be less stark.

6.26 Officers have undertaken an initial review of this option which in effect seeks to take on board the views of the GLA, whilst maintaining a similar relationship between the proposal and the buildings directly to the south in the conservation area (which has been a key driver of the currently proposed massing). Based on the views provided to date, officers consider that this option has a number of benefits comparative to both the currently proposed scheme and 'Option 3' and subject to the views of Members are likely to suggest the applicant proceeds with this arrangement as opposed to the currently proposed scheme. From wider views this option clearly steps heights up towards the town centre and transitions well to the 'Island' building to the north. It also creates a more interesting step in heights across the site as it is the only option which doesn't have a continuous graduation in height (which could potentially be argued is an arbitrary and contrived response). In immediate street views, and by virtue of placing the tallest building in the centre of the site, the development has a less stark relationship with its surroundings when viewed from the north, and when viewed from the south, maintaining the height of Block 3 at 13 storeys enables the development to continue to provide a meaningful mediation to the conservation area to the south which the Council's conservation officer supports. It should be noted that at this stage, beyond initial views testing this option has not been tested further and will necessitate further testing to ascertain whether the impacts of this option are acceptable (such as from a daylight and sunlight perspective).




Figure 18: View from Windmill Bridge (Option 1 top, Option 2 middle and Option 3 bottom)

6.27 In respect of 'Option 3' this option seeks to in effect flip the massing of Blocks 1, 2 and 3, such that there is a clear graduation of heights towards the town centre, with the absolute heights of these three buildings also being revised. Block 1 adjacent to the junction of Wellesley Road and Newgate would substantially reduce in height such that it would be 15 storeys, whilst Block 2 would marginally reduce in height to 24 storeys. Block 3 at the southern end of the site directly adjacent to the conservation area would significantly rise in height to 30 storeys.



Figure 19: View of Option 3 looking north along Wellesley Road



Figure 20: View from the junction of St James's Road and Sydenham Road (with St James's Church in the foreground) of Option 3

6.28 Officers have also undertaken an initial review of this option which more literally takes on board the views of the GLA, and whilst in wider range views this scheme provides a clear stepping up to the town centre, officers have significant concerns with aspects of this approach. Through flipping the heights such that Block 3 becomes the tallest building, the relationship between the buildings in the conservation area directly to the south of the site and the scheme becomes excessively stark and uncomfortable (see Figure 19) and is of concern to the Council's conservation officer. When viewed from the junction of St James's Road and Sydenham Road this massing option clearly interrupts the silhouette of the tower of St James's Church which is Grade II* listed which is deemed harmful to this heritage asset and again is of concern to the Council's conservation officer. Furthermore, unlike 'Option 2' which strays away from a more arbitrary and contrived graduation in heights, this option would maintain

such an approach, with the deviation away from this deemed to be positive feature of 'Option 2'. Again, this option is yet to be tested further to ascertain whether the impacts of this are acceptable (such as from a daylight and sunlight perspective), however shifting heights further southwards (with lower buildings to the north) could potentially be problematic from a daylight and sunlight perspective in respect of the internal quality of the proposed flats.

6.29 Taking into account the above brief assessment officers are keen to understand members views on the proposed alternative massing options, and whether members have a clear preference for any of these options comparative to the currently proposed massing.

Architectural Expression

6.30 Given that the proposed development includes the provision of three tall buildings the design of the scheme is expected to be of an exceptional quality. Whilst the architectural expression of the scheme has been developed since the scheme's review by PRP in June 2023, this aspect of the scheme is still very much work in progress and still requires further development in order to meet the calibre that is necessary to gain officers' full support. The current design is borne out of extensive contextual analysis and seeks, in a contemporary manner, to respond to the materiality and rhythm present on buildings within the adjacent conservation area. It is currently proposed to treat Blocks 1, 2 and 4 in a similar palette of warm reds and pinks, whilst Block 3 would contrast with the other buildings through the use of yellow tones similar to those used extensively in the adjacent conservation area. In respect of the manner in which buildings are grouped through a similar architectural treatment, officers are still yet to be convinced as to whether this current strategy is appropriate, and what degree of differentiation is appropriate between varying blocks (in part to prevent an unacceptable degree of coalescence). Officers would welcome Members input on this aspect of the design.





Figure 21: Contextual elevation of proposals fronting Wellesley Road (top) and contextual elevation of Blocks 1 and 4 (bottom)

6.31 In respect of the manner in which the facades to the buildings are treated a clear base, middle and top has been expressed, with the podium element (which wraps around all four facades) acting as the base for Blocks 1, 2 and 3, and expressed double storey townhouses to the base of Block 4 clearly denoting the base of this building. Blocks 1, 2 and 3 have expressed crowns, with Block 3's being of a smaller and more subtle design to reflect its relative smaller scale. The middle portions of Blocks 1 and 2 feature a clearly defined grid accentuating their verticality with horizontal elements at 4 storey intervals, whilst thicker vertical elements and a more subtle horizontal expression is applied to Block 3. Whilst a consistent grid (referencing the post war character of Croydon) is applied across all facades, through the use of fins, balconies and varied glazing sizes, variations to each façade to respond to their orientation (taking into account environmental factors such as daylight/sunlight and overheating) are proposed. Overall whilst officers consider that the general strategy of the façade design is successful and creates well-ordered elegant buildings that respond to their environmental conditions, there is concern that the resultant design in its current form appears somewhat generic and further development to ensure that the scheme has a suitable degree of individuality that is clearly relevant to its immediate context is required.






Figure 22: Close up views of the proposed façade design

6.32 Whilst the materiality of the scheme is still very much in development, the use of some or all of a mixture of brickwork, pre-cast panels and terracotta panels is likely to form the palette of materials for the scheme. Subject to the appropriate use of these materials across the scheme, officers are generally supportive of the palette of materials proposed. The colour tones for the aforementioned materials currently proposed are a mixture of reds and pinks and yellows which pick up on the brick colours used both in the adjacent conservation area and the area more widely. Whilst officers still have reservations over whether the manner in which these colours have been applied across the scheme is appropriate, in principle the colour tones proposed are generally supported and subject to their appropriate application should tie the scheme into its surrounding context. Officers welcome the views of Members in respect of the proposed material palette that is currently being proposed.



Figure 23: View of the current podium design to the base of Block 2

6.33 A critical element of the scheme's design, and an element where substantial time and focus has been directed towards is the podium element fronting Wellesley Road. Whilst officers are supportive of both the principle of its inclusion and its overall scale and form, its architectural expression is still being developed. The current expression of this element (as illustrated in Figure 23) includes a clearly ordered fenestration pattern which reflects that present on many of the buildings opposite Wellesley Road within the conservation area along with the use of red brick and white and pink pre-cast panels. Whilst the order in which windows and openings are expressed, coupled with the use of red brick (yellow brick to the podium of Block 3) seek to reference the buildings in the conservation area opposite, more contemporary detailing such as angled facets at ground and first floor and moulded pre-cast panels to the top of the podium have also been employed to both reference the post war character of Croydon and ensure that the podium element is not simply a pastiche of buildings within the conservation area. Whilst officers have reservations over aspects of the current design, officers are of the view that the current approach of clearly referencing the conservation area, whilst at the same time proposing a more contemporary design aesthetic is the right approach. Given the importance of this element of the scheme and the role it plays in mediating between the character of the conservation area and the scale of the development proposed on this site officers would welcome any views that Members have on this aspect of the scheme.



Figure 24: View of Block 4 looking west towards Block 1

- 6.34 The element of the scheme which is the most developed in terms of its architectural expression is Block 4 which features a series of two-storey townhouses at its base which are clearly visually expressed and a tiered brick clad top with subtle expressed horizontal banding at each floor. Subject to further refinement officers are generally of the view that the design of this building would respond well to the character of Tavistock Road (where low-rise red brick apartment buildings are common) whilst transitioning to the larger scale elements of the development fronting Wellesley Road.
- 6.35 Given the stage at which the overall architectural expression of the scheme is currently at officers would welcome Members views on the approach taken thus far and any elements that are deemed to be particularly successful or not.

 <u>Landscaping and Public Realm</u>
- 6.36 Comparative to the architectural expression of the proposals, the landscaping design is relatively well developed and overall has the support of officers and was well received by PRP. Extensive areas of landscaping and public realm are proposed across the scheme, including the provision of a new public square between Blocks 1 and 2, the provision of an east-west pedestrian route through the site, an enhanced frontage to Wellesley Road (including land between the applicant's ownership and the highway which is in Council ownership), a new landscaped space in place of the existing garaging to the rear of The Elms (which is outside of the applicant's ownership and subject to agreement with both the Council and third parties and the subject of ongoing discussions), alongside extensive areas of communal gardens, terraces and roof top spaces which would also feature extensive areas of child play space.



Figure 25: Illustrative landscaping masterplan



Figure 26: Proposed public square between Blocks 1 and 2

6.37 The proposed new public square which would be sited between Blocks 1 and 2 would be considerable in size measuring 24m in width and over 30m in length. A mixture of hard and soft landscaping would be proposed in this space, and areas for sitting and child play would be provided throughout the space. Rain gardens in pebble like forms are proposed alongside extensive new tree planting and a lighting strategy for the space, including the provision of lighting integrated into planter edges, has also been developed. Overall officers consider that the design

of this space is of a very high standard and that this space would be an asset to both the development itself and the wider area. The proposed square would create a welcoming entrance to the site and would lead through to a new street that would enable east-west pedestrian links between Wellesley Road and Tavistock Road. Further development of the landscaping, particularly at the point where pedestrians would cross the new street between Blocks 1 and 4, along the length of Block 4 and adjacent to the site's eastern entrance on Tavistock Road is required to ensure that the full length of this route represents a high-quality experience for pedestrians.



Figure 27: Close up view of proposed landscaping scheme adjacent to Block 2

- 6.38 The current frontage of the site to Wellesley Road features a deep strip of soft landscaping housing a number of substantial mature trees which is partly within the applicant's ownership and partly within the Council's ownership and is the legacy of former post war plans to widen this stretch of road as part of the incomplete Croydon inner ring road. Whilst the proposed development seeks to bring the building line forward comparative to the existing buildings on site, there would still be a considerable strip of landscaping between the development and the street, and it is proposed to retain the majority of the existing trees within this space and plant a considerable number of new trees. Alongside this scheme the Council is currently in the process of exploring highway improvements to this stretch of Wellesley Road which this scheme would stitch into and are likely to involve the realignment of the eastern pavement to this stretch of Wellesley Road, potentially sitting behind a deep verge. Given the inter-relationship between this scheme and the Council's own plans, this element of the landscape design is still subject to further development and change however officers are content that the direction of travel of the design would result in a considerable betterment to the public realm along this stretch of Wellesley Road.
- 6.39 Adjacent to the eastern edge of the proposed square and south of the newly proposed east-west street sits a series of garage blocks to the rear of The Elms. Whilst outside of the applicant's ownership and in Council ownership, given the current condition of many of these garages and the fact that like the application

site itself, this area attracts anti-social behaviour, the applicant is keen to explore the possibility of including this within the proposals and re-landscaping this space to include an additional area of landscaping. Whilst officers are supportive of the principle of this aspect of the scheme, its ultimate delivery will be dependent on securing the agreement of the both the Council (as freeholder and in some cases leaseholder) as well as other third-party leasehold interests. Discussions with said interests are currently ongoing and should agreement with all parties be reached, then the re-landscaping of this space would be proposed. Given the uncertainty regarding the delivery of this element of the scheme, it should be noted that the requirements for the delivery of both communal amenity and child play space across the scheme are not reliant on the delivery of this additional space.

- 6.40 In addition to the above publically accessible spaces, extensive additional areas of landscaping which would be solely accessible to future residents of the development are also proposed, principally to the east of Blocks 2 and 3, to the south of Block 3, atop the podium to Blocks 2 and 3, and at roof level to Blocks 3 and 4. These spaces include a mixture of communal amenity spaces, child play areas and habitat areas, feature substantial new tree and shrub planting, and would provide a series of high quality more private spaces for future residents which would complement the publically accessible spaces provided across the development. Further discussion regarding the extent and quality of the child play space provision across the development is detailed later in this report in the 'Quality' section.
- 6.41 It should be noted that the landscaping design has been developed in a manner to ensure that it could successfully knit into adjacent sites should they come forward which is welcomed, however officers still consider that the current treatment to the area rear of the new square requires further development to ensure that it could more seamlessly accommodate a new north-south pedestrian link (between Newgate and Woburn Road) should the adjacent St Mary's School site be redeveloped in the future.
- 6.42 A public art strategy will need to be developed as part of any future submission and the earlier that this is considered the more successful it will be. Given the extent of publically accessible landscaping that is proposed across the scheme there are numerous opportunities for its inclusion and officers will seek to work with the applicant team to develop a strategy which is informed by Croydon and its diverse communities (including through engagement with the community) as the scheme develops further.

Heritage

6.43 Whilst the application site itself is not located within a designated conservation area, nor are any of the existing buildings on site either statutorily or locally listed, the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area directly borders the site to both its south and west, and other heritage assets sit within the wider context of the site including the Grade II* listed St James's Church, the Grade I listed St Michael's and All Angels Church and the Central Croydon Conservation Area. Extensive views testing of the proposals has been undertaken to date to ascertain the

impacts of the proposal on the aforementioned heritage assets, which has highlighted that the principal impacts would concern both the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area and the Grade II* listed St James's Church.



Figure 28: View of proposal looking north along Wellesley Road

6.44 In respect of the proposals impacts upon the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area, which includes the locally listed St Mary's Church, it is recognised that the proposed development will notably alter the setting of this heritage asset. Whilst the scheme proposes a scale of development that represents a notable step change comparative to that of buildings contained within the conservation area, the overall massing of the scheme has been designed to mediate between these conditions, notably through the provision of a 3 storey podium element fronting Wellesley Road (which respects the height datum of the buildings on the opposite side of Wellesley Road and the historic building line on the east side of Wellesley Road) and through stepping building heights down towards the south adjacent to the boundary with the conservation area. Whilst these key moves do not disguise the presence of tall buildings within the scheme, they do nonetheless seek to relate and knit the development into its context, which arguably the existing buildings on the site fail to successfully do. Furthermore it should be recognised that the context of the existing conservation area already features a number of existing taller and larger buildings including Saffron Square, the 'Island' building and Interchange House, and whilst the proposed development would have a closer adjacency to the conservation area comparative to these, the presence of further tall buildings in close proximity to the conservation area is not in this particular circumstance deemed to be an inappropriate or incompatible addition that could not be outweighed by suitable public benefits, and subject to an appropriate design has the potential to create an acceptable relationship.

Notwithstanding the above it is nonetheless recognised that there will be a degree of harm resulting from the proposed development upon the setting of the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area which is likely to be concluded as being less than substantial.



Figure 29: View from the junction of St James's Road and Sydenham Road (with St James's Church in the foreground)

- 6.45 As illustrated in Figure 29 the proposed development would be visible against the backdrop of the Grade II* listed St James's Church which sits at the junction of St James's Road and Sydenham Road. In addition to the strategy for stepping heights down towards the south ensuring a better relationship to the conservation area which abuts the site, this strategy also seeks to respect the setting of St James's Church as well. Whilst Blocks 1 and 2 are clearly visible to the right-hand side of St James's Church, the lower height of Block 3 ensures that the majority of the silhouette of the tower of the church remains uninterrupted. Whilst it is recognised that there will be a degree of harm resulting from the proposed development upon the setting of the Grade II* listed St James's Church it is considered that such harm would be less than substantial.
- 6.46 In line with paragraph 202 of the NPPF, where less than substantial harm to a heritage asset is deemed to be caused by a proposal, it is necessary to weigh any harm caused against the public benefits that can be attributed to the proposals. In this circumstance the proposed development would deliver a wide range of public benefits which include: the delivery of a substantial quantum of housing (including affordable housing); the provision of a new public square; the provision of a new pedestrian east-west link between Wellesley Road and Tavistock Road; and public realm improvements to the frontage of the site along Wellesley Road. When combined officers are satisfied that there is a case to be made that the public benefits of the proposed development would outweigh the harm that would be caused to both the setting of the Wellesley Road North Conservation Area and the Grade II* listed St James's Church.

Conclusion

6.47 Overall officers are generally content with the overall direction of travel of the scheme, and it is considered that the layout, scale and massing, architectural expression, landscaping and public realm, and heritage impacts of the proposals are broadly acceptable subject to further development, in particular relating to the architectural expression of the scheme. Notwithstanding this position however officers are keen to understand the views of Members on the design of the scheme thus far.

Impact on Surrounding Occupiers

- 6.48 Policy DM10.6 of the Croydon Local Plan states that proposals for development will need to ensure that the amenity of the occupiers of adjoining buildings are protected; and that they do not result in direct overlooking of neighbouring properties nor result in significant loss of existing sunlight or daylight levels for adjoining occupiers.
- 6.49 There are a number of adjacent properties to the application site which have the potential to be impacted by the proposed development. Those which are likely to be most significantly impacted include (in a clockwise direction from north of the site): the 'Island' building; Tavistock Court; Cavendish House; The Elms; St Mary's School; No. 76 Wellesley Road; and No's 51-99 Wellesley Road.
- 6.50 In respect of any impact upon outlook or loss of privacy, for a number of the aforementioned properties (namely the 'Island' building, Cavendish House and No's 51-99 Wellesley Road) the separation distances between them and the proposed development are in excess of 18m and are therefore unlikely to be impacted to such a degree that would cause unacceptable impacts. In respect of the remaining neighbouring buildings which sit closer to the proposed development, for Tavistock Court (including the new terrace of 6 homes) there are only south facing windows at ground floor and roof level within the two original blocks, with the majority of these windows being secondary windows, and for The Elms whilst there are a number of north facing windows these all serve nonhabitable rooms. Whilst there would be a degree of overlooking of the outside space to St Mary's School, given the 8m distance from the closest windows to the site boundary, coupled with the size and nature of this space, any overlooking that would result from the development is not deemed to be of an unacceptable nature. Finally in respect of the relationship between the proposed development and No.76 Wellesley Road, this property does not feature any north facing windows.



Figure 30: Separation distances from surrounding properties

- 6.51 The applicant has undertaken an initial daylight and sunlight assessment of the proposals upon surroundings properties. The properties which would be impacted the greatest are those with the 'Island' building, Tavistock Court, The Elms, and 51-99 Wellesley Road. Whilst in the majority of instances where individual windows do not meet BRE guidance they would retain Vertical Sky Component (VSC) levels in the low to mid-teens (which is not unreasonable for an urban environment such as this), or already suffer from very low VSC levels (i.e. any further impact as a percentage thus appears high), there are some isolated instances where there are impacts which go beyond this. Further analysis of the daylight and sunlight assessment undertaken to date is needed to understand the reasons behind some of the results (which in many instances may be down to the design and/or nature of the affected building), and where possible ways to mitigate and reduce these impacts will be sought.
- 6.52 Based on the above it is recognised that the scheme is likely to result in some adverse impacts to neighbouring properties (which will need to be considered in the overall planning balance), however further analysis is required to better understand these impacts and the applicant will be required to demonstrate that any harm caused has been limited as much as possible.
- 6.53 Third party comments received on any formal application will also need to be considered as part of any future scheme assessment.

Tenure, Mix and Quality of Accommodation

Tenure

- 6.54 Policies SP2.4 and SP2.5 of the Croydon Local Plan seek to negotiate up to 50% affordable housing, subject to viability with a tenure mix of 60/40 in favour of affordable rented homes to intermediate homes, and also require a minimum provision of affordable housing to be provided, preferably in the first instance as a minimum level of 30% affordable housing on-site. Policies H4, H5 and H6 in the more recently adopted London Plan set out a strategic target for 50% of all new homes to be genuinely affordable, set out a threshold approach for major development proposals, where schemes providing a minimum of 35% affordable housing can follow the 'Fast Track Route' whereby they are not required to submit viability information (subject to meeting a number of other specified criteria), and set out a tenure split requirement (within the affordable element of a proposal) which requires a minimum of 30% of said homes to be low-cost rented homes, a minimum of 30% of said homes to be intermediate products which meet the definition of genuinely affordable housing, with the remaining 40% of said homes to be determined by the borough as low-cost rented or intermediate products based on identified need.
- 6.55 The proposals currently seek to provide a total of 163 affordable homes, representing 35% of the overall housing provision within the development by units and 37% of the overall housing provision by habitable room. Within said provision 30% of the affordable housing would be provided in the form of London Affordable Rent housing, with the remaining 70% of the affordable housing being provided in the form of Shared Ownership housing. The current breakdown of the affordable housing provision within the scheme is provided below in Figure 31 (this may be subject to slight variations in options 2 and 3). It is currently envisaged that the entirety of Bock 4 will provide London Affordable Rent housing alongside some provision in the lower portion of Block 3, and Shared Ownership housing will be provided across Blocks 2 and 3.

Tenure	Size	Units
London Affordable Rent	1b/2p	23
	2b/3p	2
	2b/4p	7
	3b/4p	8
	3b/5p	9
Shared Ownership	1b/2p	45
	2b/3p	38
	3b/5p	31

Figure 31: Breakdown of current affordable housing provision

6.56 Based on the current affordable housing position, whilst the proposed split would broadly accord with that outlined in policy H6 of the London Plan, given that the Croydon Local Plan requires a split of 60/40 in favour of affordable rented homes to intermediate homes, which the proposal does not accord with, the proposal in its current form would need to be viability tested. As mentioned previously in this report, to date the applicant has undertaken high level viability testing of the

scheme which has been subject to an independent review by external consultants appointed by the Council. Said review concluded that despite the aforementioned position the scheme is not viable to deliver any affordable housing, however nonetheless the applicant's position remains that they are committed to delivering such an affordable housing offer. As part of the overall planning balance, officers considered it imperative that this scheme brings forward a good affordable housing offer (in part to justify the quantum of development being sought for this site), and given the sizeable number of homes proposed, the viability position, alongside acknowledged challenging market conditions, officers consider that the current affordable housing position is a notable benefit of the scheme that weighs substantially in its favour. Whilst it is recognised that a large portion of the affordable housing offer is in the form of an intermediate product (Shared Ownership), to date officers have taken the view that achieving the overall quantum of affordable housing proposed (37%) is critical. Should members be of a different view (i.e. that the proportion of affordable housing should feature a higher quantum of London Affordable Rent housing instead), given the current viability position it needs to be recognised that this would notably impact upon the headline figure of overall affordable housing provision across the scheme. As the scheme progresses, and as part of any future planning application, officers will seek to secure the maximum level of affordable housing delivery on the scheme.

Mix

6.57 Policy SP2.7 of the Croydon Local Plan seeks to ensure that a choice of homes is available to address the boroughs need for homes of different sizes and sets a strategic target for 30% of all new homes up to 2036 to have 3 or more bedrooms. Policy DM1.1 of the Croydon Local Plan sets out a minimum provision of 3-bedroom homes to be provided on individual sites of 10 or more homes, dependent on the setting and PTAL of the site, in order to deliver the overall strategic target required by policy SP2.7, recognising that more central locations with higher density development will not be so compatible for accommodating larger homes. Within 'Central' locations which have a PTAL of 4 and above (which this site falls within) the requirement for new developments is for a minimum of 20% 3-bedroom or larger homes. The current proposals seek to provide a total of 20% 3-bedroom homes across the scheme which would accord with this policy requirement.

Quality

6.58 Policy D6 of the London Plan states that housing development should be of high-quality design and sets out a range of quantitative and qualitative aspects that new housing should comply with, including minimum internal space standards which are reflective of the Nationally Described Space Standards (NDSS) as well as minimum private external space standards. Policy S4 of the London Plan states that residential developments should incorporate good-quality, accessible play provision for all ages of at least 10sqm per child. Policies DM10.4 and DM10.5 of the Croydon Local Plan require new residential development to provide private amenity space that is of high-quality design, a minimum of 10sqm

- per child of new play space, as well as high quality communal outdoor amenity space that is designed to be flexible, multifunctional, accessible and inclusive. Furthermore, policy DM10.6 (d) of the Croydon Local Plan requires proposals for development to ensure that they provide adequate sunlight and daylight to potential future occupants.
- 6.59 Officers understand that all of the proposed homes would either meet or exceed the NDSS and also benefit from private external amenity spaces that are in accordance with the requirements of policy DM10.4 of the Croydon Local Plan. Within both Blocks 1 and 2 residential homes would be accessed via a single core (served by two staircases) and there would be up to 7 homes per floor. In Block 3 a single core (again served by two staircases) would serve up to 6 homes per floor, and in Block 4 two separate cores would serve up to 9 homes per floor, many of which would be accessed via an external deck. The majority of homes proposed across the development would be dual aspect and where single aspect homes are proposed these would be either east, west or south facing. There are no single aspect north facing homes proposed. Officers are content that all of the proposed homes would benefit from good levels of outlook and where homes do face each other separation distances of at least 18m have been proposed to ensure that the privacy of these homes are not compromised. Where homes front onto the public realm or communal amenity areas suitable setbacks to allow for the provision of soft landscaping have been proposed to ensure adequate privacy is provided to the affected homes. Based on the plans provided to date officers are generally content that the proposed layouts will ensure a good standard of accommodation will be provided across the development for future occupiers.
- 6.60 To date officers have not been provided with a daylight and sunlight assessment which assesses the homes within the proposed development itself nor has an overheating assessment been provided. Such assessments will however be required as part of the application to demonstrate that these aspects of the development are acceptable. It is however recognised that the façade design for the building has been designed to be responsive to its relevant orientation such that these considerations are being hard wired into the fabric of the scheme, i.e. minimising internal solar gains, whilst maximising daylight transmission for occupant wellbeing.
- 6.61 In respect of the communal aspects of the proposal all of the proposed communal entrances to buildings are well located, legible and of a suitable scale to enable a welcoming arrival experience for building users and whilst many of the internal corridors do not benefit from access to natural light, given the scale and layout of the internal corridors and the fact that in the instances where this occurs none of the affected cores serve more than 8 homes per floor these shared circulation spaces are deemed to be comfortable and fit for purpose. Communal amenity provision is provided across the development at ground floor level externally, at roof level to Blocks 3 and 4, and internally on the lower levels of Block 1. Child play space is provided throughout the landscaping at ground level, at podium level in Block 2 and on the lower roof levels of Block 4 (see Figure 32). A total of 1,235sqm of child play space is provided for across the development for all age groups which accords with the GLA's child yield calculations for this

development. An assessment of the levels of sunlight that would be achieved at ground floor across the public realm has been provided and this demonstrates that the majority (over 95%) of this space would benefit from at least 2 hours of sunlight on the 21st March (in accordance with BRE guidelines).




Figure 32: Playspace provision

- 6.62 Policy D7 of the London Plan states that at least 10% of new dwellings shall meet Building Regulation requirement M4(3) 'wheelchair user dwellings', with all remaining new dwellings meeting Building Regulation requirement M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'. Of the 464 homes proposed a total of 47 of these have been designed as M4(3) 'wheelchair user dwellings', with the remaining 417 homes having been designed as M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'.
- 6.63 The applicant is aware that policy D5 of the London Plan requires the provision of a fire evacuation lift within each core, and that a fire statement will be required as part of any future planning submission in order to demonstrate compliance with policy D12 of the London Plan. The applicant has advised officers that the current scheme is being designed to meet current fire regulations, including Planning Gateway One, and includes the provision of two stair cores to all 4 buildings. As part of any future planning application the Health and Safety Executive will be a formal consultee and will assess the proposals to ensure compliance with Planning Gateway One.

6.64 Given the adjacency of the proposed development to Wellesley Road which forms part of the 'Strategic Road Network' and a busy route in and out of the town centre, the impacts of noise and air quality on residential amenity will need to be considered. As part of any future planning application the applicant will need to demonstrate how internal areas and external areas achieve suitable levels of comfort in this regard which may include the provision of winter gardens in place of balconies.

Access, Parking and Highway Impacts

Overall Policy Context

- 6.65 Policies T1, T2, T3 and T4 of the London Plan seek to ensure that development proposals facilitate the delivery of the Mayor's strategic target of 80 per cent of all trips in London to be made by foot, cycle or public transport by 2041 and deliver patterns of land use that facilitate residents making shorter, regular trips by walking or cycling, support capacity, connectivity and other improvements to the bus network, and ensure that impacts on the capacity of the transport network, including cumulative impacts of development, are fully assessed with mitigations through improvements or financial contributions. Policies T5, T6, T6.1, T6.3 and T6.5 of the London Plan seek to ensure that a suitable quantum and quality of car and cycle parking provision is provided within developments, including suitable provision of disabled persons parking. Policy T7 of the London Plan seeks to ensure that development proposals facilitate safe, clean and efficient deliveries and servicing and provide adequate space for deliveries and servicing off-street. Policy T9 of the London Plan sets out that planning obligations, including financial contributions, will be sought to mitigate impacts from development.
- 6.66 Policies SP8, DM29 and DM30 of the Croydon Local Plan require redeveloped sites to increase permeability, connectivity and legibility and require development to contribute towards the provision of electric vehicle charging infrastructure, car clubs and car sharing schemes, promote measures to increase the use of public transport, cycling and walking, have a positive impact and must not have a detrimental impact on highway safety and do not result in a severe impact on the transport network local to the site, as well as providing a sufficient level of car and cycle parking.

Site Access

6.67 Two access points into the site are proposed broadly in the location of existing access points into the current Woburn and Bedford Court complex. The first of these is located on Tavistock Road and will lead onto a one way vehicular route (westbound) running along the south side of Block 4, before turning north and exiting onto Newgate and will provide vehicular access to Blocks 1 and 4. The second access point will be located directly off of Wellesley Road between Blocks 2 and 3 and will allow both access and egress for vehicles to Blocks 2 and 3. In principle officers are supportive of the number and location of vehicular access points provided across the site and as part of any future planning application

officers will expect the applicant to provide full tracking details and demonstrate that said access points achieve the necessary vehicular and pedestrian visibility splays.

Trip Generation / Transport Impacts

6.68 Given the site's sustainable location within both the Croydon Opportunity Area and an area benefitting from a PTAL of 6b it is envisaged that the overwhelming majority of trips associated with the development will utilise sustainable modes of travel such as walking, cycling or public transport in line with the Mayor's Healthy Streets approach. Furthermore, it is also recognised that the proposed development seeks to provide a number of public realm improvements (such as along Wellesley Road and through the creation of a new east-west pedestrian link) which will further encourage the use of sustainable modes of travel both within this development and the local area. Whilst initial discussions with the Council's Strategic Transport team have taken place to outline the scope of any future assessment, including trip generation estimates for the proposed development, any future application will need to be accompanied by a detailed Healthy Streets Transport Assessment which fully assesses the implications of the proposals.

Servicing and Delivery

6.69 As has been noted in the 'Site Access' section, the site will benefit from two points of access off of both Wellesley Road and Tavistock Road. All of the servicing and delivery movements associated with the proposed development are envisaged to take place off street, with the new east-west road allowing for the servicing of both Blocks 1 and 4, with vehicles either stopping at the necessary points along this route (in respect of Block 4) or using the dedicated servicing bays to the east of Block 1. For Blocks 2 and 3, the access point between these blocks will be utilised and leads to a turning head where vehicles can turn such that they can enter and exit the site in a forward gear. Should the adjacent St Mary's School site come forward in the future for redevelopment this access road could be extended further eastwards through the adjacent site linking through to Tavistock Road. The current proposals have been reviewed by the Council's Strategic Transport team and officers are satisfied that the proposed servicing and delivery strategy for the site is acceptable. As part of any future planning application a draft servicing and delivery plan will be expected to be submitted with the final version being secured through condition.

Car Parking

6.70 Given the site's highly accessible location the scheme would be expected to be car free, with the exception of Blue Badge parking within the site in line with London Plan and Croydon Local Plan policies. Policy T6.1 of the London Plan requires developments to provide Blue Badge bays for 3% of dwellings from the outset and demonstrate how an additional 7% of dwellings could also be provided with a Blue Badge space in the future should there be need. The current proposals seek to provide a total of 14 Blue Badge parking bays (which accords with the 3% requirement) which would be located both between Blocks 1 and 4

- and within an internal parking area within Block 2. It has also been suggested that the remaining 7% provision (should it be required in the future) could potentially be delivered on-street in the local area, however further work to demonstrate this is still required.
- 6.71 As the site sits within a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) all of the proposed homes would be secured as permit free and it is expected that the developer will enter into a legal agreement to ensure future residents will be prohibited from applying for on-street parking thereby mitigating any potential impact upon parking stress levels within the local area.
- 6.72 A car club bay is currently proposed to be located adjacent to the access to Tavistock Road, and whilst its provision in principle is supported further details of the exact design of this space are required before officers are content that its location and design are acceptable. At present the applicant has not provided further details regarding the operation of the car club bay however given a number of major car club companies operate in this area officers are satisfied that it will be a viable proposition. Said provision, including three years membership for all future occupiers of the development would be secured as part of any future legal agreement.

Cycle Parking

6.73 The proposed development is seeking to include long stay and short stay cycle parking in accordance with the London Plan and London Cycling Design Standards (LCDS) requirements, for both the residential and non-residential uses proposed with a total of 820 cycle parking spaces proposed. The proposed cycle parking stores are located across basement, ground and mezzanine levels and where not at ground floor will be served by suitably sized lifts to allow for ease of access. The proposed cycle stores will also include a mixture of double stacked spaces and Sheffield stands alongside spaces for larger adapted bikes, and provision for the charging of electric bikes will also be provided.

Refuse and Recycling

6.74 Refuse and recycling provision for the proposed development is proposed to be housed across both the basement and at ground level and has been located such that it is within close proximity to the servicing and delivery bays across the development. A high-level refuse and recycling strategy demonstrating that said stores would have sufficient capacity and that these stores would be within suitable drag distances of where the refuse vehicles would stand has been provided and subject to further details appears to demonstrate that a policy compliant provision can be provided.

Mitigation

6.75 In order to offset any impacts of the proposed development on the local transport network contributions (of at least £1,500 per home) towards improvements to sustainable transport will be required. As discussed in the 'Landscaping and Public Realm' section of this report the Council is currently progressing plans for

improvements to this stretch of Wellesley Road and given the interrelationship between the proposed development and these works it is likely that a contribution towards these works will be expected from the proposed development. Further to the above and as previously mentioned future occupiers of the proposed development will be restricted from obtaining parking permits and will be provided with car club membership for the first 3 years of the development. Any works to the public highway to facilitate the new/revised access points to the site will necessitate the applicant entering into a S.278 agreement with the Council.

6.76 In addition to the above and given the scale of the proposed development Transport for London are likely to have further requirements and financial obligation requests.

Environmental Matters

Sustainability

- 6.77 Policies SI 2, SI 3 and SI 4 of the London Plan require development to be net zero-carbon in accordance with the London Plan energy hierarchy with at least a 35% on-site reduction in carbon dioxide emissions beyond Building Regulation requirements with any remaining shortfall to be provided through a cash in lieu payment alongside a calculation of whole life-cycle carbon emissions. Where they are located within Heat Network Priority Areas developments should have a communal low-temperature heating system, and they should demonstrate though an energy strategy how they will reduce the potential for internal overheating and reliance on air conditioning systems in accordance with the London Plan cooling hierarchy. Policy SI 5 of the London Plan requires development through the use of planning conditions to minimise the use of mains water, achieving mains water consumption of 105 litres or less per head per day, and policy SI 7 of the London Plan requires development to promote circular economy outcomes and aim to be net zero-waste. Policy SP6 of the Croydon Local Plan requires development to make the fullest contribution to minimising carbon dioxide emissions, promotes the development of district energy networks and requires new build non-residential development of 500sqm and above to achieve a minimum of BREEAM Excellent.
- 6.78 The applicant is fully aware of the above requirements and has indicated that the proposed development would be net zero-carbon, including achieving a minimum 35% on-site reduction in carbon dioxide emissions beyond Building Regulations requirements, which is welcomed. A range of sustainability measures are being incorporated into the development including passive design measures, energy efficient energy and heating generation (which would focus on an all-electric strategy, including the provision of a communal heating system) as well as the provision of Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP) and Photovoltaic Panels (PVs). As part of any future planning application a suite a necessary documents covering and demonstrating compliance with the above policies will be required.

Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage

- 6.79 Policies SI 12 and SI 13 of the London Plan state that development should ensure that flood risk is minimised and mitigated, with any residual risk being addressed, and should also aim to achieve greenfield run-off rates and ensure that surface water run-off is managed as close to its source as possible through following the London Plan drainage hierarchy. Policies SP6 and DM25 of the Croydon Local Plan require development to apply the Sequential and Exception Tests, be accompanied by a site specific Flood Risk Assessment, to utilise sustainable drainage systems to reduce surface water run-off and provide water treatment on site, and where relevant account for possible groundwater contamination in Source Protection Zones 1 and 2.
- 6.80 The site is located in Flood Zone 1 meaning that it is at a very low risk of flooding from rivers and the sea, and whilst parts of the site are at risk of surface water flooding overall the risk across the site as a whole are relatively low. Due to the site's location within Flood Zone 1 the Sequential and Exception Tests are not required for this site and any risk of the site flooding from rivers or sea is exceptionally low and no specific mitigation in this regard is required. In respect of surface water flooding, it is expected that the proposed development will incorporate suitable Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDs) and as part of any future application a detailed Flood Risk Assessment and Sustainable Drainage Strategy will be expected to be submitted and will be reviewed by the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA).

Air Quality

- 6.81 Policy SI 1 of the London Plan states that development should not lead to further deterioration of existing poor air quality, must be at least 'Air Quality Neutral', should demonstrate that design measures and solutions have been incorporated to minimise exposure to existing air pollution, and should also demonstrate how they reduce emissions from the demolition and construction process following best practice guidance. Policies SP6 and DM23 of the Croydon Local Plan require development to positively contribute to improving air quality by minimising pollution, are 'Air Quality Neutral' and do not lead to further deterioration of existing poor air quality.
- 6.82 The entire borough of Croydon is an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), and it is therefore important that careful consideration is given to the air quality impacts of the proposed development. The applicant has indicated that the proposed development will be air quality positive and as part of any future planning application an air quality assessment detailing how the scheme will comply with the aforementioned policies will be required. Of particular importance will be a demonstration of how the residential units and amenity areas which front Wellesley Road and Newgate at the lower levels of the buildings are suitable from an air quality perspective.

Wind and Microclimate

6.83 Policy D9 of the London Plan requires development to ensure that wind conditions around the building(s) and neighbouring area are carefully considered and do not compromise comfort and the enjoyment of open spaces around the

building(s), and policy SP4 of the Croydon Local Plan requires development to minimise its environmental impacts. The applicant has begun to explore wind impacts and consider how the scheme can respond to these impacts to reduce any adverse impacts as far as possible, with there being an expectation from officers that any remaining impacts are dealt with through mitigation measures embedded into the design of the scheme, as opposed to through soft landscaping interventions which have less permanency. Once the applicant is more confident that the current proposals have the support of the Council it is envisaged that further wind testing will be undertaken, and it is imperative that such work is undertaken at the earliest opportunity such that any necessary amendments to the scheme to deal with any issues identified can be incorporated.

Trees and Ecology

- 6.84 Policy G7 of the London Plan sets out that development proposals should ensure that, wherever possible, existing trees of value are retained, and that where it is necessary to remove trees adequate replacements should be provided. Policies DM10 and DM28 of the Croydon Local Plan seek to retain existing trees and do not permit developments that result in the avoidable loss of preserved or retained trees where they make a contribution to the character of the area. Policy G6 of the London Plan states that development should manage impacts on biodiversity and aim to secure net biodiversity gain, whilst policies SP7 and DM27 of the Croydon Local Plan seek development to incorporate biodiversity measures such as green roofs and green walls which enhance local flora and fauna and aid pollination locally. Finally, policy G5 of the London Plan states that major development proposals should contribute to the greening of London by including urban greening as a fundamental element of site and building design.
- 6.85 The existing site (including the strip of land to the front facing Wellesley Road within the Council's ownership) currently features a total of 21 trees and hedges, of which 3 are category B trees, 17 are category C trees or hedges and 1 is a category U tree. In order to facilitate the proposed development a total of 12 trees and hedges are proposed to be removed, of which 1 would be a category B tree, 10 are category C trees and hedges and 1 is a category U tree.



Figure 33: Existing site tree survey (also including the adjacent The Elms site)

6.86 In respect of the removal of the Category C and U trees and hedges officers are generally content that their removal is acceptable (subject to suitable replacement planting). In respect of the proposed removal of a Category B tree (a Lime tree to the front of the site facing Wellesley Road) alternative options which attempted to retain this tree (through creating a setback element in the podium) have been explored however were deemed to be too much of a compromise to the overall design and internal quality of the development, and therefore regrettably it has been concluded that the removal of this tree would be necessary to facilitate the development. In order to mitigate for both its loss and the loss of the other trees across the site the applicant is proposing to plant a total of 71 new trees across the site, including a number of large broad canopy street trees, many of which would be planted along the Wellesley Road frontage of the development to complement the retained trees. Given the extent and nature of new tree planting (see Figure 34) officers are therefore content that the current scheme is likely to be acceptable from an arboricultural perspective subject to further details regarding the planting conditions for the new trees being provided.



Figure 34: Proposed tree planting plan

- 6.87 In addition to the extensive new tree planting extensive planting is also proposed across the site including a mixture of species that have been chosen for sunny and shady conditions relevant to their proposed location. In order to demonstrate compliance with policy G5 of the London Plan the applicant has undertaken an initial calculation of the Urban Greening Factor for the proposed development which currently shows that the proposals are set to achieve a score of 0.35 which is below the policy target score of 0.4. Officers are of the view that the scheme is capable of achieving a score of 0.4 or above and it is expected that amendments are made to allow this target to be met and the applicant has suggested that with amendments they believe the current figure can be improved upon.
- 6.88 The applicant has committed to providing a wide range of native planting species across the scheme which would contribute positively to biodiversity and has also proposed biodiverse habitat roofs across the scheme. Based on the current scheme and the existing site conditions offices are content that the proposals will be capable of achieving biodiversity net gain. Such details will need to be worked through as the scheme progresses and must be fully integrated into the scheme, with full details being required at application stage.

Other Matters

6.89 There are a number of other planning matters which include 'Secure by Design', archaeological impacts, public art, land contamination and health impacts where

details have not yet been provided to officers, however it is understood that the applicant is working through these matters, with details to be forthcoming either later on during the pre-application process or as part of any future application.

6.90 Any future application will be accompanied by an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and a previous iteration of the scheme was subject to an EIA Scoping Opinion (19/03746/ENVS) where the scope of the EIA was approved. Prior to the submission of any future application an updated EIA Scoping Opinion covering the revised scheme will be submitted to the Council.

Mitigation

- 6.91 At this stage it is envisaged that a range of planning obligations will be required to mitigate the impacts of the proposed development. Whilst detailed discussions with the applicant team on these matters are yet to take place, it is anticipated that these would likely include the following (this is not an exhaustive list):
 - Affordable Housing (on-site)
 - Affordable housing review mechanisms (early and late stage)
 - Employment and training contributions and obligations (construction/operational)
 - Air Quality contribution
 - Carbon offsetting contribution (if required)
 - Sustainable transport contributions (to potentially include enhancements to the stretch of Wellesley Road adjacent to the site)
 - Car parking permit restrictions
 - Car club provision and membership (3 years free)
 - Travel Plan
 - Transport for London contributions
 - Public realm delivery and maintenance including allowing the public to pass and repass within the public realm areas, inclusive of the new eastwest pedestrian link
 - Measures to include the provision of future linkages to neighbouring sites should they come forward in the future
 - Public art provision
 - S.278 (highways) works
 - Wind mitigation
 - Television signal mitigation scheme
 - Retention of scheme architects
 - Relevant monitoring fees

7 SPECIFIC FEEDBACK REQUESTED

- 7.1 In view of the above, it is suggested Members focus on the following issues:
 - 1. The principle of tall buildings in this location, and the amount and distribution of scale/bulk/height across the site (including any views on the proposed alternative massing options), as well as the impacts upon

- surrounding heritage assets (weighing these against the public benefits proposed).
- 2. The design approach and elevational treatment, including materiality, with a particular focus on both the towers and the Wellesley Road fronting podium.
- 3. The approach to public realm, private and communal amenity space and child play space across the development, including any views on the elements of the proposal outside of the applicant's ownership (namely The Elms and the Wellesley Road frontage).
- 4. The 37% affordable housing provision and whether the proposed tenure split currently proposed is appropriate.
- 5. The approach to residential quality within the development, and the potential impacts on neighbouring properties in terms of light, outlook and privacy.



PLANNING COMMITTEE AGENDA

PART 6: Planning Applications for Decision

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In this part of the agenda are reports on planning applications for determination by the Planning Committee.
- 1.2 Although the reports are set out in a particular order on the agenda, the Chair may reorder the agenda on the night. Therefore, if you wish to be present for a particular application, you need to be at the meeting from the beginning.
- 1.3 Any item that is on the agenda because it has been referred by a Ward Member, GLA Member, MP or Resident Association and none of the person(s)/organisation(s) or their representative(s) have registered their attendance at the Town Hall in accordance with the Council's Constitution (paragraph 3.8 of Part 4K Planning and Planning Sub-Committee Procedure Rules) the item will be reverted to the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport to deal with under delegated powers and not be considered by the committee.
- 1.4 The following information and advice applies to all reports in this part of the agenda.

2 MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

- 2.1 The Committee is required to consider planning applications against the development plan and other material planning considerations.
- 2.2 The development plan is:
 - the London Plan (2021)
 - the Croydon Local Plan (2018)
 - the South London Waste Plan (2022)
- 2.3 Decisions must be taken in accordance with section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 requires the Committee to have regard to the provisions of the Development Plan, so far as material to the application; any local finance considerations, so far as material to the application; and any other material considerations. Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires the Committee to make its determination in accordance with the Development Plan unless material planning considerations support a different decision being taken. Whilst third party representations are regarded as material planning considerations (assuming that they raise town planning matters) the primary consideration, irrespective of the number of third party representations received, remains the extent to which planning proposals comply with the Development Plan.
- 2.4 Under Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, in considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects listed buildings or their settings, the local planning authority must have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of architectural or historic interest it possesses.

- 2.5 Under Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, in considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a conservation area, the local planning authority must pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area.
- 2.6 Under Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, in considering whether to grant planning permission for any development, the local planning authority must ensure, whenever it is appropriate, that adequate provision is made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees.
- 2.7 In accordance with Article 31 of the Development Management Procedure Order 2010, Members are invited to agree the recommendations set out in the reports, which have been made on the basis of the analysis of the scheme set out in each report. This analysis has been undertaken on the balance of the policies and any other material considerations set out in the individual reports.
- 2.8 Members are reminded that other areas of legislation covers many aspects of the development process and therefore do not need to be considered as part of determining a planning application. The most common examples are:
 - **Building Regulations** deal with structural integrity of buildings, the physical performance of buildings in terms of their consumption of energy, means of escape in case of fire, access to buildings by the Fire Brigade to fight fires etc.
 - Works within the highway are controlled by **Highways Legislation**.
 - Environmental Health covers a range of issues including public nuisance, food safety, licensing, pollution control etc.
 - Works on or close to the boundary are covered by the **Party Wall Act**.
 - Covenants and private rights over land are enforced separately from planning and should not be taken into account.

3 ROLE OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- 3.1 The role of Members of the Planning Committee is to make planning decisions on applications presented to the Committee openly, impartially, with sound judgement and for sound planning reasons. In doing so Members should have familiarised themselves with Part 5D of the Council's Constitution 'The Planning Code of Good Practice'. Members should also seek to attend relevant training and briefing sessions organised from time to time for Members.
- 3.2 Members are to exercise their responsibilities with regard to the interests of the London Borough of Croydon as a whole rather than with regard to their particular Ward's interest and issues.

4. THE ROLE OF THE CHAIR

- 4.1 The Chair of the Planning Committee is responsible for the good and orderly running of Planning Committee meetings. The Chair aims to ensure, with the assistance of officers where necessary, that the meeting is run in accordance with the provisions set out in the Council's Constitution and particularly Part 4K of the Constitution 'Planning and Planning Sub-Committee Procedure Rules'. The Chair's most visible responsibility is to ensure that the business of the meeting is conducted effectively and efficiently.
- 4.2 The Chair has discretion in the interests of natural justice to vary the public speaking rules where there is good reason to do so and such reasons will be minuted.

- 4.3 The Chair is also charged with ensuring that the general rules of debate are adhered to (e.g. Members should not speak over each other) and that the debate remains centred on relevant planning considerations.
- 4.4 Notwithstanding the fact that the Chair of the Committee has the above responsibilities, it should be noted that the Chair is a full member of the Committee who is able to take part in debates and vote on items in the same way as any other Member of the Committee. This includes the ability to propose or second motions. It also means that the Chair is entitled to express their views in relation to the applications before the Committee in the same way that other Members of the Committee are so entitled and subject to the same rules set out in the Council's constitution and particularly Planning Code of Good Practice.

5. PROVISION OF INFRASTRUCTURE

- 5.1 In accordance with Policy 8.3 of the London Plan (2011) the Mayor of London has introduced a London wide Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to fund Crossrail. Similarly, Croydon CIL is now payable. These would be paid on the commencement of the development. Croydon CIL provides an income stream to the Council to fund the provision of the following types of infrastructure:
 - i. Education facilities
 - ii. Health care facilities
 - iii. Projects listed in the Connected Croydon Delivery Programme
 - iv. Public open space
 - v. Public sports and leisure
 - vi. Community facilities
- 5.2 Other forms of necessary infrastructure (as defined in the CIL Regulations) and any mitigation of the development that is necessary will be secured through A S106 agreement. Where these are necessary, it will be explained and specified in the agenda reports.

6. FURTHER INFORMATION

6.1 Members are informed that any relevant material received since the publication of this part of the agenda, concerning items on it, will be reported to the Committee in an Addendum Update Report.

7. PUBLIC SPEAKING

7.1 The Council's constitution allows for public speaking on these items in accordance with the rules set out in the constitution and the Chair's discretion.

8. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

8.1 The background papers used in the drafting of the reports in part 6 are generally the planning application file containing the application documents and correspondence associated with the application. Contact Mr P Mills (020 8760 5419) for further information. The submitted planning application documents (but not representations and consultation responses) can be viewed online from the Public Access Planning Register on the Council website at http://publicaccess.croydon.gov.uk/online-applications. Click on the link or copy it into an internet browser and go to the page, then enter the planning application number in the search box to access the application.

9. RECOMMENDATION

9.1 The Committee to take any decisions recommended in the attached reports.



PLANNING COMMITTEE AGENDA

PART 8: Other Planning Matters

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In this part of the agenda are reports on planning matters, other than planning applications for determination by the Committee and development presentations.
- 1.2 Although the reports are set out in a particular order on the agenda, the Chair may reorder the agenda on the night. Therefore, if you wish to be present for a particular application, you need to be at the meeting from the beginning.
- 1.3 The following information and advice applies to all those reports.

2 FURTHER INFORMATION

2.1 Members are informed that any relevant material received since the publication of this part of the agenda, concerning items on it, will be reported to the Committee in an Addendum Update Report.

3 PUBLIC SPEAKING

3.1 The Council's constitution only provides for public speaking rights for those applications being reported to Committee in the "Planning Applications for Decision" part of the agenda. Therefore reports on this part of the agenda do not attract public speaking rights.

4 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

4.1 For further information about the background papers used in the drafting of the reports in part 7 contact Mr P Mills (020 8760 5419).

5 RECOMMENDATION

5.1 The Committee to take any decisions recommended in the attached reports.



Agenda Item 81

Decisions (Ward Order) since last Planning Control Meeting as at: 18th September 2023

Bernard Weatherill House 8 Mint Walk Croydon CR0 1EA

Sustainable Communities, Regeneration and Economic Recovery Department DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

DELEGATED PLANNING DECISIONS (Ward Order)

The following is a list of planning applications determined by the Head of Development Management under delegated powers since the last meeting of the Planning Committee.

04.09.2023 to 15.09.2023

Note: This list also includes those decisions made by Planning Committee and released in this time frame as shown within the level part of each case.

NOTE: The cases listed in this report can be viewed on the Council's Website.

Please note that you can also view the information supplied within this list and see more details relating to each application (including the ability to view the drawings submitted and the decision notice) by visiting our Online Planning Service at the Croydon Council web site (www.croydon.gov.uk/onlineplans).

Once on the Council web page please note the further information provided before selecting the Public Access Planning Register link. Once selected there will be various options to select the Registers of recently received or decided applications. Also; by entering a reference number if known you are able to ascertain details relating to a particular application. (Please remember to input the reference number in full by inserting any necessary /'s or 0's)

Ref. No.: 23/01369/FUL Ward: Addiscombe East

Location: 358 Lower Addiscombe Road Type: Full planning permission

Croydon CR0 7AF

Proposal: Demolition of a rear shed and the erection of a single storey rear extension to the shop

with rear external stairs and an access route to the first floor. Alterations to the existing

shopfront

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02736/LE Ward: Addiscombe East

Location: 14 Blackhorse Lane Type: LDC (Existing) Use edged

Croydon CR0 6RS

Proposal: Use as HMO - (Use Class C4) for 6 persons (amended description)

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Certificate Refused (Lawful Dev. Cert.)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02921/HSE Ward: Addiscombe East

Location : 23 Green Court Avenue Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 7LD

Proposal: Erection of single storey front / side extension following demolition of garage.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/00886/HSE Ward: Addiscombe West

Location: 53 Leslie Park Road Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 6TP

Proposal: Erection of single-storey rear/side wraparound extension and associated alterations

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Refused

Ref. No.: 23/02312/FUL Ward: Addiscombe West

Location: Peony Court Type: Full planning permission

58 Addiscombe Road

Croydon CR0 5PH

Proposal: Alterations to front, rear and side elevations at ground floor level involving infilling and

forming of openings

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02865/FUL Ward: Addiscombe West

Location: 1 Park Hill Road Type: Full planning permission

Croydon CR0 5NA

Proposal: Erection of 2/3 storey dwelling attached to No.1 Park Hill Road and single storey

extension to serve host dwelling.

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02828/DISC Ward: Bensham Manor

Location: 2A Bensham Manor Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Thornton Heath

CR7 7AA

Proposal: Details pursuant to the discharge of condition 4 (CLP) from planning permission

22/03301/FUL for 'Use of the site as a Special Educational Needs School (Use Class F1

(a)) with associated alterations to the fenestration of the building and site layout'

Date Decision: 14.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03000/GPDO Ward: Bensham Manor

Location: 9 Woodland Road Type: Prior Appvl - Class A Larger

Thornton Heath House Extns

CR7 7LP

Proposal: -Erection of a single storey rear extension projecting out 6 metres from the rear wall of

the original house with a height to the eaves of 2.95 metres and a maximum overall

height of 2.95 metres

Date Decision: 15.09.23

(Approval) refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02373/NMA Ward: Broad Green

Location: 56 - 58 Factory Lane Type: Non-material amendment

Croydon CR0 3RL

Proposal: Non-material amendment (alterations to glazing and cladding) linked to planning

permission for 21/06012/FUL for demolition of existing buildings and the development of

a Class E(g)ii, E(g)iii, B2 and B8 use employment unit with ancillary office

accommodation, associated external yard areas, HGV, car parking and cycle parking, servicing areas, external lighting, soft landscaping, infrastructure and associated works.

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02552/ADV Ward: Broad Green

Location : B&q Type: Consent to display 2 Hesterman Way advertisements

Croydon CR0 4YA

Proposal: Installation of illuminated fascia, wall mounted signs and replacement of various vinyls

signages.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Consent Granted (Advertisement)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

CR0 3PR

Ref. No.: 23/02907/GPDO Ward: Broad Green

Location: 48 Midhurst Avenue Type: Prior Appvl - Class A Larger

Croydon House Extns

Proposal: Erection of a single storey rear extension projecting out 4 metres from the rear wall of the

original house with a height to the eaves of 2.76 metres and a maximum height of 4

metres

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Prior Approval No Jurisdiction (GPDO)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01946/LP Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: 135 Beauchamp Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

Upper Norwood edged

London SE19 3DA

Proposal: Erection of rear dormer, installation of a rooflight on front roofslope.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02064/OUT Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: Land Adjoining 1-17 Wedgewood Way Type: Outline planning permission

Beulah Hill Upper Norwood

London

Proposal: Outline application for consideration of Appearance, Layout and Scale for the erection of

2 X 4 bedroom dwellings with associated parking

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02694/DISC Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: 15 Kingslyn Crescent Type: Discharge of Conditions

Upper Norwood

London SE19 3DG

Proposal: Discharge of conditions 5 (tree protection), 7 (CLP) and 8 (fire safety) attached to

planning permission 22/05125/HSE for 'Erection of a two storey side and single storey

rear extension to the house'

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02746/DISC Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: Land Between 137-181 Church Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Upper Norwood

London SE19 2PR

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 2 (materials) attached to planning permission 17/03010/FUL for

Erection of part single/two storey building comprising 2 two bedroom flats, provision of

associated cycle and refuse storage and landscaping

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02747/DISC Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: Land Between 137-181 Church Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Upper Norwood

London SE19 2PR

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 7 (bin and bike storage) attached to planning permission

17/03010/FUL for Erection of part single/two storey building comprising 2 two bedroom

flats, provision of associated cycle and refuse storage and landscaping

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Not approved

Ref. No.: 23/02817/DISC Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: Land Between 137-181 Church Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Upper Norwood

London SE19 2PR

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 6 (boundary treatments) attached to planning permission

17/03010/FUL for Erection of part single/two storey building comprising 2 two bedroom

flats, provision of associated cycle and refuse storage and landscaping

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02825/FUL Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: 275 Church Road Type: Full planning permission

Upper Norwood

London SE19 2QQ

Proposal: Replacement of existing timber single glazed windows with heritage slimline double-

glazed timber windows.

Existing timber windows to be replaced with heritage slimline double-glazed timber

windows.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02826/FUL Ward: Crystal Palace And Upper

Norwood

Location: 181 Church Road Type: Full planning permission

Upper Norwood

London SE19 2PS

Proposal: Replacement of existing single glazed timber windows with heritage slimline double-

glazed timber windows.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02497/HSE Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: 36 Woodplace Lane Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 1NB

Proposal: Loft conversion including raising the ridge height and installation of a rear facing dormer

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02515/HSE Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: 27 Hollymeoak Road Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 3QA

Proposal: Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of single storey rear extension and first

floor side extension.

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02670/HSE Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: 2A Howard Road Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 2EA

Proposal: Construction of replacement rear dormer, removal of chimney, insertion of rooflights to

front and raised roof finish

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02715/DISC Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: 62 Rickman Hill Type: Discharge of Conditions

Coulsdon CR5 3DP

Proposal: Discharge of condition 3 (landscaping), 4 (refuse/cycle and electric vehicle charging point

details) and 5 (land levels of the car parking area) attached to planning permission 21/01366/FUL for Alterations including alterations to land levels/ramp, erection of an attached single/two storey side and single storey rear 2 bedroom house fronting Rickman Hill, formation of vehicular access and provision of 1 parking space fronting Parkside

Gardens and new pedestrian access for no. 62 Rickman Hill

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02718/HSE Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: 40 Downs Road Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 1AA

Proposal: Alterations. Erection of a single-storey rear/side wraparound extension. Erection of raised

rear patio.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02891/HSE Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: 117 Downs Road Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 1AD

Proposal: Demolition of crown roof, alterations and enlargement of roof to include increase in ridge

height, front gable feature and erection of side dormer extension

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03423/PDO Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: Telephone Exchange Type: Observations on permitted

Hollymeoak Road development

Coulsdon CR5 3QA

Proposal: The installation of 3 no. replacement antenna and ancillary radio equipment at existing

rooftop mast and ancillary development thereto.

Date Decision: 13.09.23

No Objection

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03431/PDO Ward: Coulsdon Town

Location: Telephone Exchange Type: Observations on permitted

Hollymeoak Road development

Coulsdon CR5 3QA

Proposal: Notification under the Electronic Communications Code (Conditions and Restrictions)

Regulations 2003, (as amended), of the intention to install electronic communications

apparatus.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

No Objection

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 21/04922/ADV Ward: Fairfield

Location : Wellesley Road Underpass Type: Consent to display

Wellesley Road advertisements

Croydon

Proposal: Erection of two digital media displays (12m x 3m) and associated landscaping

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Not Determined application

Ref. No.: 23/00016/OUT Ward: Fairfield

Location: Stoneham House Type: Outline planning permission

17 Scarbrook Road

Croydon CR0 1SQ

Proposal: Outline planning application for the erection of new part 3/part 4 storey purpose built

block of flats comprising up to 6 flats (Matters reserved are access, appearance,

advertisements

landscaping and layout)

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01824/ADV Ward: Fairfield

Location: 1 High Street Type: Consent to display

Croydon CR9 1PD

Proposal: Installation of fascia and projecting signs and other signages/graphics to the building.

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Consent Granted (Advertisement)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02150/FUL Ward: Fairfield

Location: 44A Pitlake Type: Full planning permission

Croydon CR0 3RA

Proposal: Convert existing cellar into a studio apartment.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02544/DISC Ward: Fairfield

Location: 6-44 Station Road And Queens Hall Car Type: Discharge of Conditions

Park, Poplar Walk, Croydon (St Michael's

Square)

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 22 (Public Art) attached to permission 20/04010/CONR.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02612/FUL Ward: Fairfield

Location: 16-18 South End Type: Full planning permission

Croydon CR0 1DN

Proposal: Changes to shopfront involving introducing new recessed frontage section and security

shutters.

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02760/GPDO Ward: Fairfield

Location: 135A North End Type: Prior Appvl - Class E to

Croydon (dwellings) C3

CR0 1TN

Proposal: Change of use of first and second floors of building from commercial space (Use Class E)

to two (2) self-contained dwellings (Use Class C3) (Prior Approval Notification --

Schedule 2, Part 3, Class G)

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Approved (prior approvals only)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02836/DISC Ward: Fairfield

Location: 96 Park Lane Type: Discharge of Conditions

Croydon CR0 1JB

Proposal: Details pursuant to Condition 6 (Contamination Validation Report) of Planning Permission

20/03834/CONR (originally granted 14/03683/P) for Partial demolition, alterations to roof, erection of dormer extensions in front and rear roof slopes; erection of single/two storey rear extension with balcony, conversion to form 3 two bedroom and 6 one

bedroom flats; provision of associated parking and cycle/refuse storage.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 21/04233/FUL Ward: Kenley

Location: 127 Godstone Road Type: Full planning permission

Kenley CR8 5BD

Proposal: Demolition of existing bungalow and construction of a pair of three-storey, semi-detached

dwellings with parking and storage for refuse and cycles.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 22/03889/FUL Ward: Kenley

Location: Land To The Rear Of 9 And 10 Fairoak Close Type: Full planning permission

Kenley CR8 5LJ

Proposal: Redevelopment of land to the rear of Nos. 9 and 10 Fairoak Close, Kenley CR8 5LJ to

provide new residential accommodation (Use Class C3), comprising 3 pairs of two storey semi detached dwellings (6 dwellings in total) with associated access road, landscaping,

refuse storage, cycle and car parking provision (amended description)

Date Decision: 11.09.23

P. Granted with 106 legal Ag. (3 months)

Level: Planning Committee

Ref. No.: 22/05303/RSM Ward: Kenley

Location: 50 Welcomes Road Type: Approval of reserved matters

Kenley CR8 5HD

Proposal: Reserved matters application relating to appearance, materials and landscaping

(condition 1) attached to planning permission ref 21/01273/OUT for the demolition of a single-family dwellinghouse and erection of 3-storey block and two 2-storey houses (5x 3-

bed, 3x 2-bed) with associated parking refuse and cycle storage (Outline).

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Approved

Ref. No.: 23/02089/DISC Ward: Kenley

Location: 46 Welcomes Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Kenley CR8 5HD

Proposal: Discharge of condition 5 (CLP) attached to planning permission ref. 20/06250/OUT

(allowed under appeal ref. APP/L5240/W/21/3279654) for 'The erection of a frontage block of six flats and a pair of semi-detached house; and the demolition of the existing

detached bungalow.'

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02090/DISC Ward: Kenley

Location: 46 Welcomes Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Kenley CR8 5HD

Proposal: Discharge of condition 9 (tree protection) attached to planning permission ref.

20/06250/OUT (allowed under appeal ref. APP/L5240/W/21/3279654) for 'The erection of a frontage block of six flats and a pair of semi-detached house; and the demolition of the

System operator

existing detached bungalow.'

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02872/PA8 Ward: New Addington North

Location: Land Between 69 - 88 The Lindens Type: Telecommunications Code

Field Way Croydon CR0 9EL

Proposal: Proposed 15m 5G telecoms installation: H3G street pole and additional equipment

cabinets.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

(Approval) refused

Ref. No.: 23/02719/HSE Ward: New Addington South
Location: 72 Milne Park West Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 0DP

Proposal: Part one, part two storey side extension and front porch

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02849/HSE Ward: New Addington South
Location: 58 Redstart Close Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 0EU

Proposal: Alterations including erection of a single storey rear extension, first floor side extension

and conversion of the existing garage to a habitable room.

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03079/LP Ward: New Addington South

Location : 5 Aldrich Crescent Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations Croydon edged

Croydon CR0 0NP

Proposal: Installation of rooflights on front roof slope, erection of gable end roof extension and

dormer extension on rear roof slope

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03155/GPDO Ward: New Addington South

Location: 52 Warbank Crescent Type: Prior Appvl - Class A Larger

Croydon House Extns CR0 0AS

Proposal: Erection of a single storey rear extension projecting out 5 metres from the rear wall of the

original house with a height to the eaves of 2.74 metres and a maximum height of 2.94

metres

Date Decision: 12.09.23

(Approval) refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 22/01978/HSE Ward: Norbury Park

Location: 34 Georgia Road Type: Householder Application

Thornton Heath

CR7 8DQ

Proposal: Alterations, demolition of garage and erection of hip to gable and rear dormer extension,

two-storey side/rear extension and single-storey rear/side extension with steps and

provision of 3x rooflights in front roofslope

Date Decision: 14.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01195/FUL Ward: Norbury Park

Location: R/O 292 Green Lane Type: Full planning permission

Norbury London SW16 3BA

Proposal: Demolition of the existing garage and erection of a new two level (including basement)

dwelling with a car parking space. Other associated site alterations

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02029/HSE Ward: Norbury Park

Location: 121 Biggin Hill Type: Householder Application

Upper Norwood

London SE19 3HX

Proposal: Raising of existing ridge height and installation of two rooflights to front roof slope.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02171/DISC Ward: Norbury Park

Location: 39 Crown Lane Type: Discharge of Conditions

Norbury London SW16 3JE

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 3 (cycle/refuse stores) and 4 (Landscaping) of LPA ref:

20/02216/FUL (Change of use of the dwelling as 4 self-contained units comprising 1

three bedroom, 3 two bedroom flats)

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02518/FUL Ward: Norbury Park

Location: 1597 London Road Type: Full planning permission

Norbury London SW16 4AA

Proposal: Erection of an additional storey on top of the existing building to provide a new flat. New

metal gate at ground floor and other associated site alterations

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02810/HSE Ward: Norbury Park

Location: 28 Courtland Avenue Type: Householder Application

Norbury London SW16 3BE

Proposal: Conversion of loft to habitable space, erection of hip to gable loft and rear dormer.

Installation of 3x front rooflights.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02822/GPDO Ward: Norbury Park

Location: 68 Maryland Road Type: Prior Appvl - Class A Larger

Thornton Heath House Extns

CR7 8DF

Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extension projecting out 6 metres with a maximum height of

4 metres

Date Decision: 08.09.23

(Approval) refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02955/DISC Ward: Norbury Park

Location: Sosa Court Type: Discharge of Conditions

64 Green Lane Thornton Heath

CR7 8BE

Proposal: Discharge of condition 5 (cycle storage) of LPA ref: 23/01183/FUL (Erection of single

storey rear extension, rear dormer window, front and side roof lights and conversion into

edged

3 Residential units).

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03235/LP Ward: Norbury Park

Location : 68 Gibson's Hill Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

Norbury London SW16 3JS

Proposal: Use of one (1) room as a private office space for private and taxi hire booking services.

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Ward:

Type:

Norbury And Pollards Hill

Full planning permission

edged

Ref. No.: 23/02270/FUL

Location: 1451 London Road

Norbury London SW16 4AQ

Proposal: Erection of a two storey rear extension to contain a single dwelling, with an undercroft car

parking space and other associated site alterations

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No. : 23/02707/LP Ward : Norbury And Pollards Hill

Location: 1195 London Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

Norbury London SW16 4UY

Proposal: Erection of a single storey rear extension following demolition of existing rear extensions

and removal of pipe.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No. : 23/02764/HSE Ward : Norbury And Pollards Hill

Location: 196 Northborough Road Type: Householder Application

Norbury London SW16 4BA

Proposal : Erection of single storey side/rear extension.

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Permission Refused

Ref. No.: 23/01721/HSE Ward: Old Coulsdon

Location: 51 Coulsdon Rise Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 2SF

Proposal: Erection of front porch, single storey side/rear extension and rear dormer. Alterations

including land level changes and fenestration arrangement.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02230/FUL Ward: Old Coulsdon

Location: 16 Coulsdon Road Type: Full planning permission

Coulsdon CR5 2LA

Proposal: Subdivision of existing detached dwellinghouse into two semi-detached three-storey

dwellinghouses. Erection of a two-storey front extension. Erection of a single-storey rear extension. Erection of 2x rear dormer roof extensions. Erection of 2x dormer windows to front roof slope. Installation of two rooflights to front roof slope. Alterations to external

elevations.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02295/HSE Ward: Old Coulsdon

Location: 10 Court Avenue Type: Householder Application

Coulsdon CR5 1HF

Proposal: Alterations and single storey rear extension with new pitched roof over existing single

storey flat roof and flat roof at first floor level

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03103/DISC Ward: Old Coulsdon

Location: Arjun House Type: Discharge of Conditions

34 Caterham Drive

Coulsdon CR5 1JF

Proposal: Discharge of condition 5 (Construction Logistic Plan and Traffic Management Plan) in

relation to planning reference 19/03385/FUL for the Demolition of existing dwelling and garage and erection of a three storey building comprising 6 flats (3 x 3 bed, 1 x 2 bed and

2 x 1 bed), 3 parking spaces, communal amenity space and cycle / refuse / recycle

storage

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

> Croydon CR0 5JQ

Proposal: Erection of a side porch, alterations to fenestration including enlargement of window to

the south elevation, insertion of two windows to the west elevation, and windows to replace the existing door and windows to the east elevation, rooflight to the side roofslope

and single garage door separated into two separate doors.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 22/00014/FUL Ward: Purley Oaks And

Riddlesdown

Location : Valentine Court Type: Full planning permission

122 Pampisford Road

Purley CR8 2NF

Proposal: Retrospective application for the demolition of existing two storey property, erection of

three storey plus lower ground floor building to create 9 self-contained residential units (C3), new access with car parking, landscaping, refuse and cycle storage and other

associated works.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

P. Granted with 106 legal Ag. (3 months)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/00547/HSE Ward: Purley Oaks And

Riddlesdown

Location: 23 Kendall Avenue South Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 0QR

Proposal: Demolition of existing garage and part of existing dwelling. Erection of two-storey rear

extension with alterations of roof form. (following principle of granted consent

21/03069/FUL)

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01926/HSE Ward: Purley Oaks And

Riddlesdown

Location: 67 Riddlesdown Road Type: Householder Application

Purley CR8 1DJ

Proposal: Retrospective application for the erection of a basketball court at rear garden

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02196/HSE Ward: Purley Oaks And

Riddlesdown

Location: 11 Copperfield Close Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 0RX

Proposal: Alterations. Erection of a single-storey rear/side extension. Erection of a single-storey

front/side extension. Formation of additional hardstanding to front garden area.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/02421/HSE **Purley Oaks And** Ward:

Riddlesdown

Location: 46 Riddlesdown Avenue Householder Application Type:

> Purley CR8 1JJ

Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extension and front roof extension includes installation of

one juliet balcony at front. Alterations.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/02560/DISC **Purley Oaks And** Ward:

Riddlesdown

Location: Development Site At Discharge of Conditions Type:

33 Purley Downs Road

Purley CR8 1HA

Proposal: Discharge of condition 12 (lighting design scheme) attached to planning permission

> 19/02276/FUL for the demolition of existing buildings on site and the redevelopment of the site to provide 267 sqm of commercial floorspace (B1/B8) and 37 residential units (13

x 1 beds, 18 x 2 beds and 6 x 3 beds), with associated landscaped areas including

children's play space, parking, cycle store and refuse store

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Approved

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/02697/HSE Ward: **Purley Oaks And**

Riddlesdown

Location: 14 Norman Avenue Householder Application Type:

South Croydon

CR2 0QE

Proposal: Demolition of existing side garage and structure, existing conservatory at rear. Erection of

single storey side extension. Erection of single storey rear extension. Alterations include

land level changes.

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02808/DISC Ward: Purley Oaks And

Riddlesdown

Location: Development Site At Type: Discharge of Conditions

33 Purley Downs Road

Purley CR8 1HA

Proposal: Discharge of condition 16 (surface water drainage scheme) attached to planning

permission 19/02276/FUL for the demolition of existing buildings on site and the

redevelopment of the site to provide 267 sqm of commercial floorspace (B1/B8) and 37 residential units (13 x 1 beds, 18 x 2 beds and 6 x 3 beds), with associated landscaped

areas including children's play space, parking, cycle store and refuse store.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03381/PDO Ward: Purley Oaks And

Riddlesdown

development

Location : Pavement Outside 28 Biddulph Road Type: Observations on permitted

South Croydon CR2 6QB

Proposal: Installation of 1 x 9 metre pole (above ground).

Date Decision: 13.09.23

No Objection

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 22/04328/FUL Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Location: 13 Russell Parade Type: Full planning permission

Russell Hill Road

Purley CR8 2LE

Proposal: Alterations to and erection of a single storey extension to the rear of the ground floor

commercial unit (Class E(c)) to provide a one bed self-contained flat (Class C3) with provision of associated cycle parking, refuse storage, terrace and boundary enclosure

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02220/HSE Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location : 52 Northwood Avenue Type: Householder Application

Purley CR8 2EP

Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extension and alterations to existing decking

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02315/DISC Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location: Land R/O 30 - 34 Hartley Old Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Purley CR8 4HG

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 14 (Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy) attached to planning

permission 22/00973/FUL for Alterations to include alterations to land levels, erection of 3 pairs of two storey semi-detached dwellinghouses with accommodation within the roof (6 dwellinghouses in total), alterations/widening of existing vehicular access, formation of

access road and provision of associated car parking, cycle, refuse and landscaping

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02318/FUL Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location: 30 Wyvern Road Type: Full planning permission

Purley CR8 2NP

Proposal: Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 3 linked buildings ranging in height from 2-

3 storeys, above basement level car parking, to provide 7 flats, with associated refuse

and cycle storage.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02387/ADV Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location: 49 Foxley Lane Type: Consent to display

Purley advertisements

CR8 3EH

Proposal: Installation of 1x illuminated free standing totem sign with 2x face panels. Installation of

1x illuminated free standing post sign.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Consent Refused (Advertisement)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No. : 23/02601/HSE Ward : Purley And Woodcote

Location : Monrose House Type: Householder Application

22 Peaks Hill Purley

CR8 3JE

Proposal: Replacement of existing glass conservatory roof with lightweight tapco tiles.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02777/HSE Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location : 6 Meadow Hill Type: Householder Application

Purley CR8 3HL

Proposal: Single storey side and rear extension and extension of terrace

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Ref. No.: 23/02791/DISC Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Location: Development Site Former Site Of Type: Discharge of Conditions

59 - 63 Higher Drive

Purley CR8 2HR

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 17 (Energy statement) of planning permission 19/03282/FUL

(Demolition of existing buildings, erection of a three/four/five storey building comprising 40 residential units, provision of 24 car parking spaces and associated refuse and cycle

storage)

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02807/DISC Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Location: 922 - 930 Purley Way Type: Discharge of Conditions

Purley CR8 2JL

Proposal: Discharge of condition 11 (Planning Fire Safety Statement) attached to planning

permission 22/01141/CONR for Variation of Conditions 2 (plans), 3 (detailed

description), 11 (Fire statement), 17 and 18 (soft landscaping), 26 (refuse storage and cycle parking), 28 (energy statement), 34 (air quality), 36 (trees) and 37 (wheelchair accessible dwellings) of planning permission 20/06224/FUL for the 'Demolition of existing

edged

residential dwellings and erection of a residential development, with associated

landscaping, access, cycle and car parking.

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02814/LP Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location: 102 Downs Court Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

Purley CR8 1BD

Proposal: Erection of 2 side dormers and a raised central ridge to enable a loft conversion, new

windows and a new pitched roof on the existing porch.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Certificate Refused (Lawful Dev. Cert.)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02819/CONR Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Location: 42 Grovelands Road Type: Removal of Condition

Purley CR8 4LA

Proposal: Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) attached to PP 19/00886/FUL for 'Demolition

of the existing dwelling and the erection of a 3 storey detached building (with roofspace accommodation) comprising 3 x 3 bed, 5 x 2 bed and 1 x 1 bedroom flats with associated access road, car parking, refuse and cycle stores, amenity space and landscaping.'

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02854/HSE Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Location: 10 Graham Road Type: Householder Application

Purley CR8 2EL

Proposal: Alteration to land level, Erection of single storey side/ rear extension, first floor side

extension and rear dormer extensions. Alteration and Enlargement of existing front porch.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02880/DISC Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Location: 14 Oakwood Avenue Type: Discharge of Conditions

Purley CR8 1AQ

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 3 (Construction Logistics Plan) of planning permission

22/05346/FUL (Demolition of existing garage and extensions to existing house. Two storey rear extension to existing house. Erection of one new house to be built to the side of the existing house with altered and new vehicular accesses, hardstanding and other

associated works)

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Approved

Ref. No.: 23/03376/PDO

Location: Telecommunications Mast

Mitchley Avenue

Purley CR8 1BJ Ward: Purley And Woodcote
Type: Observations on permitted

development

Proposal: The addition of 1no. Cabinet and development ancillary reworks thereto.

Date Decision: 12.09.23

No Objection

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03515/TR5 Ward: Purley And Woodcote

Location: 1-5 Cranford Close Type: 5 Day Notification to Remove

Purley TPO(s) CR8 1BX

Proposal: Fell Ash tree, leave at 2 meters tall.

Approximately 10 meters in height. The tree is mostly dead.

(TPO 55, 1987)

Date Decision: 15.09.23

No Objection

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01706/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 19 Hook Hill Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 0LB

Proposal: Erection of garden building to front of property

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02379/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 199 Upper Selsdon Road Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 0DY

Proposal: Alterations, erection of single/two storey side and single storey rear extension

incorporating a hipped to gable roof extension and rear dormer extension

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02525/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 69 Arundel Avenue Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 8BL

Proposal: Conversion of garage to habitable space together with associated alterations

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02586/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 23 Downsway Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 0JB

Proposal: Erection of single storey side/rear extension, conversion of garage to habitable room and

alterations

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02737/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 37 Mayfield Road Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 0BG

Proposal: Demolition of existing single storey rear addition, and erection of a single storey rear

extension, including steps down to the rear garden.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02831/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 23 Clyde Avenue Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 9DN

Proposal: Demolition of detached garage and existing rear conservatory, and erection of part

single, part two storey side and rear extensions, and loft conversion including insertion of

two rooflights to the front roofslope.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02902/HSE Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 3 Hilton Way Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 9ER

Proposal: Part demolition of garage and erection of single storey side/rear extension.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02992/PAD Ward: Sanderstead

Location: 2 St Mary's Road Type: Determination prior approval

demolition

South Croydon

CR2 0PD

Proposal: Prior notification of the proposed demolition of single storey detached building at 2 St

Mary's Road, CR2 0PD.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Approved (prior approvals only)

Ref. No.: 23/02055/HSE Ward: Selsdon And Addington

Village

Location : 2 Farnborough Avenue Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 8HE

Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extension

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02286/HSE Ward: Selsdon And Addington

Village

Location: 19 Chapel View Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 7LG

Proposal: Erection of two-storey side extension following demolition of existing garage. Erection of

single-storey rear extension. Alterations to existing roof, land level to the rear and

entrance steps

Date Decision: 14.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02389/DISC Ward: Selsdon And Addington

Village

Location: 55 Crest Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

South Croydon

CR2 7JR

Proposal: Discharge Condition 3 (Materials) attached to Planning Permission ref. 20/06710/FUL for

'Erection of a terrace of 4 two storey three bedroom houses with accommodation within the roof space at rear fronting Croham Valley Road, with associated refuse and cycle

provision and landscaping'

Date Decision: 06.09.23

Not approved

Ref. No.: 23/02441/HSE Ward: Selsdon And Addington

Village

Location: 11 Crossways Type: Householder Application

South Croydon

CR2 8JP

Proposal: Single storey rear extension

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02548/HSE Ward: Selsdon And Addington

Village

Location: 106 Farley Road Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 7NE

Proposal: Erection of a single-storey rear extension and two-storey side extension.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/00087/FUL Ward: Selsdon Vale And Forestdale

Location: 54-56 Selsdon Park Road Type: Full planning permission

South Croydon CR2 8JD

Proposal: Demolition of existing day nursery buildings (Use Class E(f)) and the erection of two four

storey buildings comprising 23 residential apartments (Use Class C3), utilising the existing access off Selsdon Park Road, with associated parking provision, cycle/refuse

store and communal/private amenity spaces.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02750/HSE Ward: Selsdon Vale And Forestdale

Location: Type: 42 Nightingale Road Householder Application

South Croydon

CR2 8PT

Proposal: Single storey side/rear extension; fenestration changes to rear; associated alterations

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/02884/DISC Ward: Selsdon Vale And Forestdale

Location: Land Development Site Former Site Of Discharge of Conditions Type:

> 3 Kingswood Way South Croydon

CR2 8QL

Proposal: Discharge of Conditions 3 (CLP) attached to planning permission 20/05474/FUL For

> Demolition of single-family dwellinghouse and erection of 3x 3-storey terraced houses, 2x 3-storey semi-detached houses and 2x 2-storey semi-detached houses containing 6x-3

> > edged

bedroom and 1x 2-bedroom

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Approved

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/03483/LP Ward: Selsdon Vale And Forestdale

LDC (Proposed) Operations Location: 47 Kingswood Way Type:

> South Croydon CR2 8QN

Proposal: Erection of a dormer extension in the rear roof slope

Date Decision: 14.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/01851/FUL **Selhurst** Ward:

Location: 100 Windmill Road Type: Full planning permission

Croydon CR0 2XQ

Proposal: Alterations, change of use of ground floor to 1-bedroom flat (C3) and alterations to

shopfront

Date Decision: 15.09.23

P. Granted with 106 legal Ag. (3 months)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01979/FUL Ward: Selhurst

Location: Mount Zion Seventh Day Church Of God Type: Full planning permission

333A Sydenham Road

Croydon CR0 2EL

Proposal: Erection of side extension to upper ground floor level to form incidental space for a food

bank and charity clothes store (Use Class F2(b)), Associated erection of a metal external

walkway and stairs, and Associated alterations

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 21/03629/HSE Ward: Shirley North

Location : 14 Gwynne Avenue Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 7RN

Proposal: Erection of outbuilding (part retrospective) (amended description)

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02710/HSE Ward: Shirley North

Location: 63 Shirley Road Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 7ES

Proposal: Single storey rear extension

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03089/LP Ward: Shirley North

Location: 141A Wickham Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Use edged

Croydon CR0 8TE

Proposal: Use of the premises as a nail bar within Use Class E(c)(iii).

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01526/HSE Ward: Shirley South

Location: 175 Devonshire Way Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 8BZ

Proposal: Demolition of existing rear and side extensions. Garage conversion into habitable room.

Erection of front porch and single storey rear and side wrap around extension with

associated works.

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02027/HSE Ward: Shirley South

Location: 14 Oaks Road Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 5HL

Proposal: Alterations and erection of a two-storey side infill extension and external pergola to

connect outside spaces.

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02114/FUL Ward: Shirley South

Location : First Floor Flat Type: Full planning permission

272A Wickham Road

Croydon CR0 8BJ

Proposal: Erection of a barn-hip roof extension, rear dormer extension and the installation of a sky

light window in the front roof slope.

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02500/HSE Ward: Shirley South

Location: 35 Tideswell Road Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 8PW

Proposal: Erection of part two-storey side and single storey side/rear wrap around extension with

associated works. Alterations to front elevation.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02656/FUL Ward: Shirley South

Location: West Wickham And Shirley Baptist Church Type: Full planning permission

Wickham Road

Croydon CR0 8EH

Proposal: Installation of 2no. ground floor air conditioning units

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Permission Granted

Ref. No.: 23/02214/HSE Ward: South Croydon

Location: 88 Brighton Road Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 6AD

Proposal: Demolition of existing rear outrigger and erection of a two storey rear outrigger extension

Date Decision: 14.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02367/FUL Ward: South Croydon

Location: 74A Croham Road Type: Full planning permission

South Croydon CR2 7BD

Proposal: Partial demolition of an existing rear extension and erection of a single storey rear

extension.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Withdrawn application

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02369/FUL Ward: South Croydon

Location: 74C Croham Road Type: Full planning permission

South Croydon CR2 7BD

Proposal: Erection of a first floor rear extension, including the formation of a roof terrace with

associated screening.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Withdrawn application

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02442/FUL Ward: South Croydon

Location: Flat 4 Type: Full planning permission

193 Pampisford Road

South Croydon

CR2 6DF

Proposal: Alterations involving the conversion of an existing garage (approx 9 sq. metres) to an

office/gym/storage area.

Date Decision: 07.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02661/HSE Ward: South Croydon

Location: 168 Selsdon Road Type: Householder Application

South Croydon CR2 6PJ

Proposal: Erection of a single-storey rear extension.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02845/LP Ward: South Croydon

Location: 24 Heathfield Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

edged

Croydon CR0 1ES

Proposal: Erection of rear garden structure to be used as a home office.

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 22/03501/FUL Ward: South Norwood

Location: 147 Holmesdale Road Type: Full planning permission

South Norwood

London SE25 6JJ

Proposal: Retention of existing rear dormer roof extensions with cladding proposed to match the

main roof tiles, ground floor rear extension and conversion of the house into two flats.

Other associated site alterations

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/01923/FUL Ward: South Norwood

Location: 200 Selhurst Road Type: Full planning permission

South Norwood

London SE25 6XU

Proposal: Change of use from 8 flats (C3) to provide supported living accommodation (C2)

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02724/HSE Ward: South Norwood

Location: 54 Bungalow Road Type: Householder Application

South Norwood

London SE25 6JZ

Proposal: Erection of L shaped dormer extension in rear roofslope, erection of side/rear

wraparound single storey extension, removal of part of chimney and installation of two

rooflights into front roofslope.

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02748/LP Ward: South Norwood

Location: 15 Court Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

South Norwood edged

London SE25 4BN

Proposal: Hip to gable loft conversion, erection of rear dormer and installation of three rooflights on

the front roofslope and extension of pipe.

Date Decision: 08.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Ref. No.: 23/02853/DISC Ward: South Norwood

Location: Elizabeth Cottage Rear Of 63 Type: Discharge of Conditions

Portland Road South Norwood

London SE25 4UN

Proposal: Discharge of Condition 5 (Landscaping) attached to permission 23/00339/HSE for

'Refurbishment of existing cottage including demolition of side and rear projections.

Erection of 2-storey side and rear extension.'

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02869/FUL Ward: South Norwood

Location: 14 -16 Oliver Grove Type: Full planning permission

South Norwood

London SE25 6EJ

Proposal: Removal of existing rear external staircase, erection of a replacement staircase

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/00964/FUL Ward: Thornton Heath

Location: Land Adjoining 105 Ross Road Type: Full planning permission

South Norwood

London SE25 6TW

Proposal: Erection of two storey building comprising lower ground and ground floor to form one

bedroom dwelling, provision of associated refuse and cycle storage.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02278/HSE Ward: Thornton Heath

Location: 44 Grange Park Road Type: Householder Application

Thornton Heath CR7 8QA

Proposal: Erection of single-storey rear/side infill extension (following demolition of existing bay

window) and associated alterations including replacement of window opening with single

door opening

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Refused

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02643/FUL Ward: Thornton Heath

Location: 37 High Street Type: Full planning permission

Thornton Heath

CR7 8RU

Proposal: Installation of one Automated Teller Machine (ATM) and associated signage

(RETROSPECTIVE)

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02644/ADV Ward: Thornton Heath Location: 37 High Street Type: Consent to display

Thornton Heath

CR7 8RU

Proposal: Installation of one internally-illuminated panel sign and one internally illuminated top sign

on an Automated Teller Machine (Retrospective Application)

Date Decision: 13.09.23

Consent Granted (Advertisement)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02905/DISC Ward: Thornton Heath

Location: 96 Beulah Road Type: Discharge of Conditions

Thornton Heath

CR7 8JF

Proposal: Details pursuant to the discharge of condition 2 (design details) from planning permission

21/02502/GPDO for 'Use of existing launderette (Sui Generis) as a residential unit within

advertisements

use class C3'

Date Decision: 14.09.23

Approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03204/LP Ward: Thornton Heath

Location: 12 Michael Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

South Norwood edged

London SE25 6RL

Proposal: Erection of a rear roof extension including three rooflights to the front roofslope.

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 21/03592/DISC Ward: Waddon

Location: 15 - 21 Progress Way Type: Discharge of Conditions

Croydon CR0 4XD

Proposal: Discharge of condition 8 (Remediation Strategy), Condition 13 (BREEAM post

construction review), Condition 14 (Carbon Dioxide Reduction) attached to permission 16/04349/FUL for Demolition of existing buildings and erection of three buildings comprising a car showroom, vehicle workshop, MOT and valet facility, associated car

parking and landscaping.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Not approved

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02632/HSE Ward: Waddon

Location: 63 Denning Avenue Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 4DJ

Proposal: Proposed front and side single storey extension

Date Decision: 15.09.23

Permission Granted

Ref. No.: 23/02985/LP Ward: Waddon

LDC (Proposed) Operations Location: 2A Waddon Way Type:

> Croydon edged

CR0 4HU

Erection of hip to gable and rear dormer. Erection of single storey side/rear extension. Proposal:

Date Decision: 12.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/03146/LP Ward: Waddon

Location: 5 Cuthbert Road LDC (Proposed) Use edged Type:

> Croydon CR0 3RB

Use of existing house for the provision of care to no more than 3 young people living Proposal:

together as a single household (Class C3b)

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

23/03191/LP Ref. No.: Ward: Waddon

Location: 9 Barrow Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

edged

Croydon CR0 4EZ

Proposal: Erection of a hip to gable roof extension and rear dormer, including two rooflights to the

front roofslope.

13.09.23 Date Decision:

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: **Delegated Business Meeting**

Ref. No.: 23/02659/LP Ward: Woodside

Location: 2 Lindfield Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

> Croydon edged

CR0 6HN

Proposal: Hip to gable loft conversion, erection of rear dormer and installation of three rooflights on

the front roofslope and extension of pipe.

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/02660/HSE Ward: Woodside

Location: 2 Lindfield Road Type: Householder Application

Croydon CR0 6HN

Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extenison and installation of soil vent pipe.

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Permission Granted

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03210/LP Ward: Woodside

Location: 10 Belmont Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

South Norwood edged

London SE25 4QF

Proposal: Conversion of loft to habitable space, erection of rear dormer and installation of 2x front

rooflights.

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03223/LP Ward: Woodside

Location: 12 Woodside Green Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

South Norwood edge

London SE25 5EU

Proposal: Insertion of one rooflight to the main front and rear roofslopes.

Date Decision: 04.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Ref. No.: 23/03247/LP Ward: Woodside

Location: 10 Ferndale Road Type: LDC (Proposed) Operations

South Norwood edged

London SE25 4QP

Proposal: Erection of L Shaped roof extension with Juliet Balcony to rear roof slope and Installation

of two windows into front roofslope.

Date Decision: 11.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)

Level: Delegated Business Meeting

Ref. No.: 23/03198/LP Ward: West Thornton

Location: 30 Stanley Grove Type: LDC (Proposed) Use edged

Croydon CR0 3QU

Proposal: Use of dwellinghouse (C3) as a supported accommodation for young people between

ages of 8-17 and carers (C3b).

Date Decision: 05.09.23

Lawful Dev. Cert. Granted (proposed)